# Curriculum Errata Notice <br> 2024 Level II CFA Program 

## UPDATED 22 APRIL 2024

This document outlines the errors submitted to CFA Institute that have been corrected.
Due to the nature of our publishing process, we may not be able to correct errors submitted after 1 September 2024 in time for the publication of the following year's print materials. However, we update all errors in the Learning Ecosystem (LES) and in this document at the end of each month.

We recommend checking either the LES or this document regularly for the most current information. Depending on when you purchase the print materials, they may or may not have the errors corrected
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## Quantitative Methods

## Basics of Multiple Regression and

## Underlying Assumptions

| Lesson | Location | PDF Pg | Revised | Correction |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Basics of | Knowledge | 9 | 29 Jan | Replace: | With: |
| Multiple | Check |  | 2024 | If the market excess return, SMB, and HML are each zero, then we <br> expect a return on the portfolio of 1.534\%. | If the market excess return, SMB, and HML are each zero, then <br> Regression |
| Solution 1 |  |  | we expect a return on the portfolio of 1.5324\%. |  |  |

## Evaluating Regression Model Fit and Interpreting Model Results

| Lesson | Location | PDF Pg | Revised | Correction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goodness of Fit | Exhibit 1 | 28 | $\begin{gathered} 29 \text { Jan } \\ 2024 \end{gathered}$ | Replace cell in column "Coefficient" and row "Intercept": $2.1876$ | With: $-2.1876$ |
| Goodness of Fit | Knowledge <br> Check, <br> Solution | 31 | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \text { Jan } \\ & 2024 \end{aligned}$ | Replace: <br> The lower adjusted $R^{2}$ is consistent with the $\mid t$-statistic\| for ADV's coefficient $<1.0$ (i.e., 0.3302 ) and the coefficient not being different from zero at typical significance levels ( P -value $=$ 0.7429 ). | With: <br> The lower adjusted $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ is consistent with the $\mid t$-statistic\| for ADV's coefficient $<1.0$ (i.e., $\mathbf{0 . 3 3 2 0}$ ) and the coefficient not being different from zero at typical significance levels ( P -value $=$ 0.7429 ). |


| Lesson | Location | PDF Pg | Revised | Correction |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Testing Joint | Equation | 34 | 29 Jan | Replace: |  |
| Hypotheses for <br> with |  | 2024 | $H_{0}: b_{j} \geq B_{j}, H_{a}: b_{j}>B_{j}$ | $H_{0}: b_{j} \leq B_{j}, H_{a}: b_{j}>B_{j}$ |  |
| Coefficients | heading: |  |  |  |  |
|  | One-sided <br> coefficient <br> test, right <br> side |  |  |  |  |

## Quantitative Methods

## Model Misspecification

| Lesson | Location | PDF Pg | Revised | Correctio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Violations of Regression Assumptions: Multicollinearity | Practice Problems Exhibit 2 | 72 | $\begin{gathered} 22 \text { March } \\ 2024 \end{gathered}$ | Replace: <br> Model B | Durbin-Watson | 5.088 | 4.387 | No | With: <br> Model B | Durbin-Watson | 3.088 | 2.387 | No |

## Quantitative Methods

## Extensions of Multiple Regression

| Lesson | Location | $\begin{gathered} \text { PDF } \\ \text { Pg } \end{gathered}$ | Revised | Correction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dummy Variables in a Multiple Linear Regression | Equation 3 | 87 | $\begin{gathered} 29 \mathrm{Jan} \\ 2024 \end{gathered}$ | Replace: $Y_{i}=b_{0}+d_{0} D b_{i}+b_{1} X_{i}+\varepsilon_{i} .$ | With: $Y_{i}=b_{0}+d_{0} D b_{i}+b_{1} X_{i}+\varepsilon_{i} .$ |
| Dummy Variables in a Multiple Linear Regression | Equation 5 | 89 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \text { March } \\ & 2024 \end{aligned}$ | Replace: $Y_{i}-b_{0}+d_{0} D_{1}+b_{1} X_{i}+d_{1} D_{i} X_{i}+\varepsilon_{i}$ | With: $\boldsymbol{Y}_{i}=b_{0}+d_{0} D_{1}+b_{1} X_{i}+d_{1} D_{i} X_{i}+\varepsilon_{i}$ |
| Dummy Variables in a Multiple Linear Regression | Question Set, Question 3 | 93 | $\begin{gathered} 29 \mathrm{Jan} \\ 2024 \end{gathered}$ | Replace Option A: <br> The average return for a regulated firm is $0.5 \%$ lower than for a non-regulated firm, holding the market share constant. <br> Replace Option C: <br> For each increase in market share, a regulated firm has a 0.3 lower return on assets than a non-regulated firm. | With: <br> The average return for a regulated firm is at least $\mathbf{0 . 5 \%}$ lower than for a non-regulated firm, holding the market share constant. <br> With: <br> For each increase in market share, a regulated firm will have an increasingly lower ROA than an unregulated firm. |


| Lesson | Location | $\begin{gathered} \text { PDF } \\ \text { Pg } \end{gathered}$ | Revised | Correction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dummy Variables in a Multiple Linear Regression | Question <br> Set, <br> Solution to <br> 3 | 93 | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ \text { Jan } 2024 \end{gathered}$ | Replace: <br> A is correct because the coefficient on REG is -0.5 . <br> C is correct because the sum of coefficients is $-0.3=-0.5$ REG + 0.4MKTSH -0.2REG_MKTSH). | With: <br> A is correct because the coefficient on REG is -0.5. As MKTSH approaches 0 , we see that the regulated firm has $0.5 \%$ less return. Or, if the Market Share Contribution to return is the same, that is, $0.2 * \mathrm{MKTSH}$ (Regulated) $=0.4^{*} \mathrm{MKTSH}$ (Nonregulated), then the regulated firm has $0.5 \%$ less return. <br> C is correct because the sum of coefficients is $-0.3=-0.5$ REG + $0.4 \mathrm{MKTSH}-0.2$ REG_MKTSH). If MKTSH increases by $\mathbf{1 \%}$, for both regulated and non-regulated, the regulated firm will have a return that is $0.2 \%$ less, $0.2(1 \%)-0.4(1 \%)=-0.2 \%$. The $0.5 \%$ return of the non-regulated does not get included, since we are looking at the change in the return, based on a $1 \%$ increase in MKTSH. |
| Multiple Linear <br> Regression with <br> Qualitative <br> Dependent <br> Variables | Knowledge Check, Solution 2 | 99 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \text { March } \\ & 2024 \end{aligned}$ | Replace: <br> Therefore, the marginal impact of increasing the NPM variable by $1 \%$, rounded to two decimal places, is a decrease in the probability of a share buyback of $29.00 \%-29.06 \%=-0.07 \%$; differently put, it increases the probability of a share buyback. | With: <br> Therefore, the marginal impact of increasing the DE variable by $1 \%$, rounded to two decimal places, is a decrease in the probability of a share buyback of $29.00 \%-29.06 \%=-0.07 \%$; differently put, it decreases the probability of a share buyback. |
| Multiple Linear <br> Regression with <br> Qualitative <br> Dependent <br> Variables | Practice <br> Problem 9 | 109 | 22 March 2024 | Replace: $P=\frac{1}{1+\exp \left\{-\left[\begin{array}{l} -2.0350+(-0.7667)(0.2911)+(-0.0089)(92.9093)+ \\ (-0.1113)(2.3068)+(0.0292)(15.1743)+(0.0390)(2.0711)+ \\ (0.3432)(1.6060)+(-0.0502)(7.6489) \end{array}\right]\right\}}$ | With $P=\frac{1}{1+\exp \left\{-\left[\begin{array}{l} -2.0350+(-0.7667)(0.2911)+(-0.0089)(92.9093)+ \\ ((0.1113)(2.3068)+(0.0292)(15.1743)+(-0.0390)(2.0711)+ \\ (0.3432)(1.6060)+(-0.0502)(7.6489) \end{array}\right]\right\}}$ |
| Multiple Linear Regression with Qualitative Dependent Variables | Solution 13 | 110 | 22 March 2024 | Replace: <br> Probability of being a winning fund $=0.3595=\frac{1}{1+\exp [-(-1.9589)+(0.3453)(4.0)]}$. | With: <br> Probability of being a wimning fund $=0.3595=\frac{1}{1+\exp [-(-1.9589)+(0.3453)(4.0) 11]}$ |

## Quantitative Methods <br> Time-Series Analysis

| Lesson | Location | PDF Pg | Revised | Correction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trend Models and Testing for Correlated Errors | Second paragraph | 124 | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ \text { Jan } 2024 \end{gathered}$ | Replace: <br> Because the value of the Durbin-Watson statistic (1.09) is below this critical value, we can reject the hypothesis of no positive serial correlation in the errors. | With: <br> Because the value of the Durbin-Watson statistic (1.2145) is below this critical value, we can reject the hypothesis of no positive serial correlation in the errors. |
| Mean <br> Reversion and <br> Multiperiod <br> Forecasts | Exhibit 13 | 131 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \text { March } \\ & 2024 \end{aligned}$ | Replace:    <br>  Coefficient Standard Error t-Statistic <br> Intercept 1.3346 0.2134 6.2540 | With: |
| Seasonality in <br> Time-Series <br> Models | Exhibit 27 | 154 | $\begin{gathered} 22 \text { March } \\ 2024 \end{gathered}$ | Replace: <br> If sales grew by $1 \%$ last quarter and by $2 \%$ four quarters ago, then the model would predict that sales growth this quarter will be $0.0107-0.0154(0.01)+0.7549(0.02)=0.0256$, or $2.56 \%$. | With: <br> If sales grew by $1 \%$ last quarter and by $2 \%$ four quarters ago, then the model would predict that sales growth this quarter will be $0.0107-\mathbf{0 . 1 5 4 0}(\mathbf{0 . 0 1})+0.7549(0.02)=\mathbf{0 . 0 2 4 3}$, or $\mathbf{2 . 4 3 \%}$. |
| Other Issues in Time Series | Solution $10$ | 191 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \text { March } \\ & 2024 \end{aligned}$ | Replace: <br> To see whether this result is significantly less than 2.0, refer to the Durbin-Watson table in Appendix $E$ at the end of this volume, in the column marked $k=1$ (one independent variable) and the row corresponding to 80 observations. We see that $d l=1.61$. | With: <br> To see whether this result is significantly less than 2.0, refer to the Durbin-Watson table in Appendix $E$ at the end of this volume, in the column marked $k=1$ (one independent variable) and the row corresponding to 80 observations. We see that $d l=1.55$. |

## Quantitative Methods <br> Machine Learning

| Lesson | Location | PDF Pg | Revised | Correction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hierarchical Clustering | LOS | 241 | $\begin{gathered} 29 \mathrm{Jan} \\ 2024 \end{gathered}$ | Replace: <br> describe neural networks, deep learning nets, and reinforcement learning | With: <br> describe unsupervised machine learning algorithms-including principal components analysis, k-means clustering, and hierarchical clustering-and determine the problems for which they are best suited |
| Case Study: <br> Clustering <br> Stocks Based on Co- <br> Movement <br> Similarity | LOS | 245 | $\begin{gathered} 29 \mathrm{Jan} \\ 2024 \end{gathered}$ | Replace: <br> describe neural networks, deep learning nets, and reinforcement learning | With: <br> describe unsupervised machine learning algorithms-including principal components analysis, $k$-means clustering, and hierarchical clustering-and determine the problems for which they are best suited |
| Deep Neural Networks | LOS | 254 | $\begin{gathered} 29 \text { Jan } \\ 2024 \end{gathered}$ | Add as the LOS statement: describe neural networks, deep learning nets, and reinforcement learning |  |
| Case Study: Deep Neural NetworkBased Equity Factor Model | LOS | 256 | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \text { Jan } \\ & 2024 \end{aligned}$ | Add as the LOS statement: describe neural networks, deep learning nets, and reinforcement learning |  |
| Choosing an Appropriate ML Algorithm | LOS | 265 | $\begin{gathered} 29 \text { Jan } \\ 2024 \end{gathered}$ | Add as the LOS statement: <br> describe supervised machine learning algorithms-including penalized regression, support vector machine, k-nearest neighbor, classification and regression tree, ensemble learning, and random forest-and determine the problems for which they are best suited" and "describe unsupervised machine learning algorithms-including principal components analysis, k-means clustering, and hierarchical clustering-and determine the problems for which they are best suited |  |


| Lesson | Location | PDF Pg | Revised | Correction |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Practice } \\ \text { Problems }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Problem 6, } \\ \text { Option C }\end{array}$ | 273 | 29 Jan |  |  |
| 2024 |  |  |  |  |  | \(\left.\begin{array}{l}Replace: <br>


Statements 1, 3 and 3.\end{array}\right]\)| With: |
| :--- |
| Statements 1, 2, and 3. |

## Economics

# Currency Exchange Rates: Understanding Equilibrium Value 

| Lesson | Location | PDF Pg | Revised | Correction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Purchasing Power Parity | Second sentence at top of page | 407 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \text { March } \\ & 2024 \end{aligned}$ | Replace: <br> Each chart plots the inflation differential (horizontal axis) against the percentage change in the exchange rate (vertical axis). | With: <br> Each chart plots the inflation differential (vertical axis) against the percentage change in the exchange rate (horizontal axis). |
| Purchasing <br> Power Parity | Last paragraph of the page | 407 | $\begin{gathered} 22 \text { March } \\ 2024 \end{gathered}$ | Replace: <br> Note that the Brazilian Real-USD exchange rate changes rapidly in the period 1990-1993, mirroring the very large differences in relative inflation between hyperinflationary Brazil and low inflation rate United States. | With: <br> Note that the Brazilian Real-USD exchange rate changes rapidly in the period 1980-1993, mirroring the very large differences in relative inflation between hyperinflationary Brazil and low inflation rate United States. |
| Purchasing <br> Power Parity | Exhibit 3 <br> Title | 408 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \text { March } \\ & 2024 \end{aligned}$ | Replace axis headings: <br> DEM/USD and US less German Real Interest Rates | With: <br> REAL/USD and Differences in Inflation Rates |
| Monetary and Fiscal Policies | Second paragraph | 425 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \text { March } \\ & 2024 \end{aligned}$ | Replace: <br> With floating exchange rates and high capital mobility, a domestic currency will appreciate given a restrictive domestic monetary policy and/or an expansionary fiscal policy. Similarly, a domestic currency will depreciate given an expansionary domestic monetary policy and/or a restrictive fiscal policy. In Exhibit 4, we show that the combination of a restrictive monetary policy and an expansionary fiscal policy is extremely bullish for a currency when capital mobility is high; likewise, the combination of an expansionary monetary policy and a restrictive fiscal policy is bearish for a currency. | With: <br> With floating exchange rates and high capital mobility, a domestic currency will appreciate given a restrictive domestic monetary policy and/or an expansionary fiscal policy that results in higher real interest rates. Similarly, a domestic currency will depreciate given an expansionary domestic monetary policy and/or a restrictive fiscal policy that results in lower real interest rates. In Exhibit 4, we show that the combination of a restrictive monetary policy and an expansionary fiscal policy (high real rates) is extremely bullish for a currency when capital mobility is high; likewise, the combination of an expansionary monetary policy and a restrictive fiscal policy (lower real rates) is bearish for a currency. |


| Lesson | Location | PDF Pg | Revised | Correction |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monetary and Fiscal Policies | Exhibit 5 | 426 | $\begin{gathered} 29 \mathrm{Jan} \\ 2024 \end{gathered}$ | Replace: | Expansionary Monetary Policy Policy | Restrictive Monetary Policy | With: | Expansionary Monetary Policy | Restrictive <br> Monetary Policy |
|  |  |  |  | Expansionary Fiscal Policy | Indeterminate | Domestic currency appreciates | Expansionary Fiscal Policy | Domestic currency depreciates | Indeterminate |
|  |  |  |  | Restrictive Fiscal Policy | Domestic currency depreciates | Indeterminate | Restrictive Fiscal Policy | Indeterminate | Domestic currency appreciates |

## Economics

Economic Growth

| Lesson | Location | PDF Pg | Revised | Correction |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Factors <br> Favoring and <br> Limiting | Example 1 | 466 | 29 Jan | Replace: |  |  |  |
| Economic <br> Growth |  |  | 2024 | Singapore | $\left[(\$ 66,189 / \$ 4,299)^{1 / 68}\right]-1=4.6 \%$ | With: |  |

## Financial Statement Analysis

## ntercorporate Investments

| Lesson | Location | PDF Pg | Revised | Correction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amortization of Excess Purchase Price, Fair Value Option, and Impairment | $2^{\text {nd }}$ to last paragraph | 19 | 29 Jan 2024 | Replace: <br> Both IFRS and US GAAP prohibit the reversal of impairment losses even if the fair value later increases. | With: <br> Both IFRS and US GAAP prohibit the reversal of impairment losses even if the fair valuelater increases. |
| Practice Problems | Question 17 and Solution | 51, 59 |  | Remove the following Question 17: <br> Compared to accounting principles currently in use, the pooling method BetterCare used for its Statewide Medical acquisition has most likely caused its reported: <br> A. revenue to be higher. <br> B. total equity to be lower. <br> C. total assets to be higher. <br> Remove the following Solution to 17: <br> B is correct. Statewide Medical was accounted for under the pooling of interest method, which causes all of Statewide's assets and liabilities to be reported at historical book value. The excess of assets over liabilities generally is lower using the historical book value method than using the fair value method (this latter method must be used under currently required acquisition accounting). It would have no effect on revenue. |  |

## Financial Statement Analysis

## Employee Compensation: Post-Employment and Share-Based



| Lesson | Location | PDF Pg | Revised | Correction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Financial <br> Reporting for Post- <br> Employment Benefits | Example <br> 10 <br> Question 2 | 95 | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \text { Jan } \\ & 2024 \end{aligned}$ | Replace: <br> - Benefit obligation at the beginning of the year of 97 <br> - Fair value of plan assets at the beginning of the year of 1,010 | With: <br> - Benefit obligation at the beginning of the year of JPY 97 million <br> - Fair value of plan assets at the beginning of the year of JPY 1,010 million |
| Financial <br> Modeling and <br> Valuation <br> Considerations <br> for Post- <br> Employment <br> Benefits | Practice <br> Problem 9 | 104 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \text { March } \\ & 2024 \end{aligned}$ | Replace choice A: <br> 9. If XYZ prepared its financial statements under US GAAP, the total amount recognized by XYZ on the income statement related to its DB plan for fiscal year 2024 (assuming the company chooses not to immediately recognize the actuarial loss and assuming there is no amortization of past service costs or actuarial gains and losses) would be closest to: <br> A. 28. | Replace choice A: <br> 9. If XYZ prepared its financial statements under US GAAP, the total amount recognized by XYZ on the income statement related to its DB plan for fiscal year 2024 (assuming the company chooses not to immediately recognize the actuarial loss and assuming there is no amortization of past service costs or actuarial gains and losses) would be closest to: <br> A. 20. |
| Financial <br> Modeling and <br> Valuation <br> Considerations <br> for Post- <br> Employment <br> Benefits | Solution 9 | 111 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \text { March } \\ & 2024 \end{aligned}$ | Replace: <br> A is correct. Under US GAAP-assuming the company chooses not to immediately recognize the actuarial loss and assuming there is no amortization of past service costs or actuarial gains and losses-the components of periodic pension cost that would be reported in P\&L include the current service cost of 200, the interest expense on the pension obligation at the beginning of the period of $2,940[=7.0 \% \times(42,000+120)]$, and the expected return on plan assets, which is a reduction of the cost of 3,120 (= $8.0 \% \times 39,000)$. Summing these three components gives 28 . | With: <br> A is correct. Under US GAAP-assuming the company chooses not to immediately recognize the actuarial loss and assuming there is no amortization of past service costs or actuarial gains and losses-the components of periodic pension cost that would be reported in P\& L include the current service cost of 200, the interest expense on the pension obligation at the beginning of the period of 2,940 [ $=7.0 \% \times 42,000]$, and the expected return on plan assets, which is a reduction of the cost of $3,120(=8.0 \% \times 39,000)$. Summing these three components gives 20. |

## Financial Statement Analysis

Einancial Statement Modeling

| Lesson | Location | PDF Pg | Revised | Correction |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Modeling | Example 5 | 426 | 22 March | Replace: | With: |  |
| Operating Costs: | Solution 2 |  | 2024 | The projected beauty EBIT is EUR2,689 million, while the <br> projected mass market EBIT is EUR5,937 million, assuming mass | The projected beauty EBIT is EUR2,689 million, while the <br> projected mass market EBIT is EUR 3,249 million, assuming mass <br> Cos Goods |  |
| Sold and SG\&A |  |  |  | market sales of EUR14,937 million, gross margin of $60.75 \%$, A\&P <br> \% of 15.4\%, and SG\&A/Other \% of 23.6\%. | market sales of EUR14,937 million, gross margin of $60.75 \%$, A\&P <br> \% of 15.4\%, and SG\&A/Other \% of 23.6\%. |  |

Corporate Issuers
Cost of Capital: Advanced Topics

| Lesson | Location | PDF Pg | Revised | Correction |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mini-Case 2 | Question <br> and <br> Answers | 150 | 22 March <br> 2024 | Missing question and answer content can be found here: <br> Link to PDF |

Corporate Restructuring

| Lesson | Location | PDF Pg | Revised | Correction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corporate Evolution, Actions, and Motivations | Exhibit 1 <br> table <br> headers | 158 | $\begin{gathered} 22 \text { March } \\ 2024 \end{gathered}$ | Replace: <br> Stage in Life Cycle \| Start-Up | Start-Up | Maturity | Decline | With: <br> Stage in Life Cycle \| Start-Up | Growth | Maturity | Decline |


| Lesson | Location | PDF Pg | Revised | Correction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Evaluating Investment Actions | Example 11 <br> Solution 3 | 198 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \text { March } \\ & 2024 \end{aligned}$ | Replace: <br> Hapalla AG's offer of BRL45 billion to acquire a $25 \%$ interest in OHAA values OHAA at BRL180 billion (45/0.25) on an enterprise value basis, or BRL147,359 million in equity value after subtracting cash and cash equivalents at year-end 20X7. | With: <br> Hapalla AG's offer of BRL45 billion to acquire a $25 \%$ interest in OHAA values OHAA at BRL180 billion (45/0.25) on an enterprise value basis, or BRL147,539 million in equity value after subtracting cash and cash equivalents at year-end 20X7. |
| Evaluating Investment Actions | Exhibit 31 <br> table | 198 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \text { March } \\ & 2024 \end{aligned}$ | Replace: Gain on sale $\quad 0 \quad$ _ | With: <br> $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Gain on sale } & 0 & \mathbf{3 2 , 0 0 0} & 32,000\end{array}$ |

## Equity Valuation

## Free Cash Flow Valuation

| Lesson | Location | PDF <br> Pg | Revised | Correction |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Non-operating <br> Assets and <br> Firm Value | Solution 4 | 81 | 22 March | Replace: <br> Firm value $=\underline{1.1559(1.04)}=\$ 24.583$. | With: <br> $0.0889-0.04$ |

## Equity Valuation

## Market-Based Valuation: Price and Enterprise Value Multiples

| Lesson | Location | $\begin{gathered} \text { PDF } \\ \text { Pg } \end{gathered}$ | Revised | Correction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Price/Earnings: <br> Valuation <br> based on <br> Forecasted <br> Fundamentals | Example 8 <br> Solution 1 | $\begin{gathered} 117- \\ 118 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \text { March } \\ & 2024 \end{aligned}$ | Replace: <br> Value of the stock derived from $\operatorname{FCFE}=¥ 6,980$. <br> Forecasted 2014 EPS = $¥ 720$. <br> $¥ 6,980 / ¥ 720=9.7$ is the justified forward $P / E$. | With: <br> Value of the stock derived from $\operatorname{FCFE}=¥ 6,980$. Forecasted 2020 EPS = $¥ 720$. <br> $¥ 6,980 / ¥ 720=9.7$ is the justified forward P/E. |
| Price/Earnings: Using the $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ in Valuation | Example $11$ | 124 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \text { March } \\ & 2024 \end{aligned}$ | Replace: <br> These data are reported in Exhibit 6, which lists companies in order of descending earnings growth forecast. | With: <br> These data are reported in Exhibit 6, which lists companies in order of descending earnings growth forecast. |
| Price/Earnings: Using the $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ in Valuation | Example <br> 11 <br> Solution 1 | 125 | $\begin{gathered} 29 \mathrm{Jan} \\ 2024 \end{gathered}$ | Replace: <br> Among the three companies identified as underpriced (based on their low trailing P/Es), CenturyLink has the highest fiveyear EPS growth forecast and the lowest PEG ratio. | With: <br> Among the three companies identified as underpriced (based on their low forward P/Es), CenturyLink has the highest five-year EPS growth forecast and the lowest PEG ratio. |
| Price/Earnings: Using the $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ in Valuation | Example <br> 11 <br> Solution 1 | 125 | $\begin{gathered} 29 \mathrm{Jan} \\ 2024 \end{gathered}$ | Replace: <br> Among the other companies in Exhibit 6, Comcast and Charter Communications had the highest EPS growth forecasts and the second and third lowest PEG ratios. | With: <br> Among the other companies in Exhibit 5, Comcast and Charter Communications had the highest EPS growth forecasts and the third lowest and lowest PEG ratios. |


| Lesson | Location | PDF | Revised | Correction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Price/Earnings: <br> Using the $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ <br> in Valuation | Example <br> 11 | 124 | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \text { Jan } \\ & 2024 \end{aligned}$ | Replace: <br> Company <br> AT\&T <br> Comcast <br> Corporation <br> CenturyLink <br> China <br> Telecom <br> Charter <br> Communica <br> tions <br> Verizon <br> Windstrea <br> m Holdings <br> Mean <br> Median | $\begin{gathered} \text { Traili } \\ \text { ng } \\ \text { P/E } \end{gathered}$ | Forwar d P/E | Five-Year EPS Growth Forecast | $\begin{gathered} \text { Forward } \\ \text { PEG } \\ \text { Ratio } \end{gathered}$ | Beta | th: | Trailing P/E | Forward P/E | $\begin{gathered} \text { Five-Year } \\ \text { EPS } \\ \text { Growth } \\ \text { Forecast } \end{gathered}$ | Forward PEG Ratio | Beta |
|  |  |  |  |  | 13.20 | 9.36 | 1.83\% | 7.20 | 0.56 | AT\&T | 13.20 | 9.36 | 1.83\% | 5.11 | 0.56 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 16.23 | 12.92 | 11.20 | 1.45 | 1.09 | Comcast Corporation | 16.23 | 12.92 | 11.29 | 1.14 | 1.09 |
|  |  |  |  |  | NMF | 8.89 | 8.52 | 1.04 | 0.81 | CenturyLink | NMF | 8.89 | 8.52 | 1.04 | 0.81 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 13.14 | 10.31 | 6.90 | 1.90 | 0.81 | China Telecom | 13.14 | 10.31 | 6.90 | 1.49 | 0.81 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 70.67 | 30.32 | 45.30 | 1.56 | 1.24 | Charter Communicat ions | 70.67 | 30.32 | 45.30 | 0.67 | 1.24 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 15.03 | 11.99 | 2.51 | 5.99 | 0.50 | Verizon | 15.03 | 11.99 | 2.51 | 4.78 | 0.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 19.01 | 16.29 | 3.19 | 5.96 | 0.45 | Windstream Holdings | 19.01 | 16.29 | 3.19 | 5.11 | 0.45 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 24.55 | 14.30 | 11.30 | 3.59 | 0.78 | Mean | 24.55 | 14.30 | 11.30 | 2.76 | 0.78 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 15.03 | 11.99 | 6.90 | 1.90 | 0.78 | Median | 15.03 | 11.99 | 6.90 | 1.49 | 0.78 |


| Lesson | Location | $\begin{gathered} \text { PDF } \\ \text { Pg } \end{gathered}$ | Revised | Correction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enterprise <br> Value/EBITDA | Example <br> 34 <br> Solution | $\begin{gathered} 164- \\ 165 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \text { March } \\ 2024 \end{gathered}$ | Replace: <br> CL has only one class of common stock, no preferred shares, and no minority interest. For companies that have multiple classes of common stock, market capitalization includes the total value of all classes of common stock. Similarly, for companies that have preferred stock and/or minority interest, the market value of preferred stock and the amount of minority interest are added to market capitalization. <br> EV also includes the value of long-term debt obligations. Per CL's balance sheet, this is the sum of long-term debt ( $\$ 6,354$ million), the current portion of long-term debt ( $\$ 0$ million), and other non-current liabilities ( $\$ 2,034$ million), or $\$ 8,388$ million. Typically, the book value of long-term debt is used in EV. If, however, the market value of the debt is readily available and materially different from the book value, the market value should be used. <br> So, CL's EV is $\$ 57,372$ million $+\$ 8,388$ million $-\$ 720$ million $=\$ 65,040$ million. <br> For CL, we conclude that EV/EBITDA $=(\$ 65,040$ million $) /(\$ 3,960$ million) $=16.4$. | With: <br> CL has only one class of common stock, no preferred shares, but has minority interest. For companies that have multiple classes of common stock, market capitalization includes the total value of all classes of common stock. Similarly, for companies that have preferred stock and/or minority interest, the market value of preferred stock and the amount of minority interest are added to market capitalization. <br> EV also includes the value of long-term debt obligations. Per CL's balance sheet, this is the sum of long-term debt ( $\$ 6,354$ million), the current portion of long-term debt ( $\$ 0$ million), and other non-current liabilities ( $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 2 6 9}$ million), or $\mathbf{\$ 8 , 6 2 3}$ million. Typically, the book value of long-term debt is used in EV. If, however, the market value of the debt is readily available and materially different from the book value, the market value should be used. <br> So, CL's EV is \$57,372 million + \$8,623 million + \$299 million - \$720 million $=\mathbf{\$ 6 5 , 5 6 8}$ million. <br> For CL, we conclude that EV/EBITDA = (\$65,568 million)/(\$3,960 million) $=16.6$. |


| Lesson | Location | $\begin{gathered} \text { PDF } \\ \text { Pg } \end{gathered}$ | Revised | Correction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valuation Indicators: Issues in Practice | Practice Problem 22 and solution | $\begin{aligned} & 195 \\ & \text { and } \\ & 207 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \text { April } \\ 2024 \end{gathered}$ | Replace: <br> 22. Based on Exhibits 1 and 2, the normalized earnings per share for Centralino as calculated by Risso should be closest to: <br> A. €2.94. <br> B. €3.21. <br> C. €5.07. <br> Replace: <br> Average ROE $\times$ BVPS $=0.131 \times € 22.48=€ 2.94$. | With: <br> 22. Based on Exhibits 1 and 2, the normalized earnings per share for Centralino as calculated by Risso should be closest to: <br> A. €2.98. <br> B. €3.21. <br> C. €5.07. <br> With: <br> Average ROE $\times$ BVPS $=0.131 \times € 22.48=€ 2.98$. |
| Valuation Indicators: Issues in Practice | Practice <br> Problem <br> 30-31, <br> Exhibit 2 | 199 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \text { March } \\ & 2024 \end{aligned}$ | Replace: <br> Required rate of ROE | With: <br> Required rate of return |
| Valuation <br> Indicators: <br> Issues in <br> Practice | Solution <br> 22 | 207 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \text { March } \\ & 2024 \end{aligned}$ | Replace: <br> The book value of (common) equity, or simply book value, is the value of shareholders' equity less any value attributable to the preferred stock: €1,027 million - €84 million = €943 million. <br> Current book value per share (BVPS) is calculated as €943 million/41.94 million $=€ 22.48$. <br> So, normalized EPS is calculated as <br> Average ROE $\times$ BVPS $=0.131 \times € 22.48=€ 2.94$. | With: <br> The book value of (common) equity, or simply book value, is the value of shareholders' equity less any value attributable to the preferred stock: $€ 1,027$ million $-€ 80$ million $=€ 947$ million. <br> Current book value per share (BVPS) is calculated as $€ 947$ million/41.94 million $=\boldsymbol{€} 22.58$. <br> So, normalized EPS is calculated as Average ROE $\times$ BVPS $=0.131 \times € 22.48=€ 2.96$. |

## Equity Valuation

## Residual Income Valuation

| Lesson | Location | PDF Pg | Revised | Correction |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single-Stage and Multistage Residual Income Valuation | Example 11 <br> Solution 2 | 236 | 29 Jan 2024 | Replace: <br> Current book value per share Present value of 6 years' residual income Terminal value $[P T-B T=(1.8 \times B T)-B T]$ Present value of terminal value (at $7.95 \%$ ) Value per share | $\begin{array}{r}  \\ \\ \\ 31.580 \\ 17.755 \\ \\ \\ \underline{18,856} \\ € 52.711 \end{array}$ | With: <br> Current book value per share Present value of 6 years' residual income Terminal value $[P T-B T=(1.8 \times B T)-B T]$ Present value of terminal value (at $7.95 \%$ ) Value per share | $\begin{array}{rr}  & 15.000 \\ & 17.755 \\ 31.580 & \\ & \underline{\mathbf{1 9}, \mathbf{9 5 6}} \\ € 52.711 \end{array}$ |

## Equity Valuation

## Private Company Valuation

| Lesson | Location | PDF Pg | Revised | Correction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Private Company | Example 12 | 326 | $\begin{gathered} 29 \text { Jan } \\ 2024 \end{gathered}$ | Replace: |  |
| Income-Based |  |  |  | FLI's Normalized Operating Income after Taxes |  |
| Approach |  |  |  | As of 31 December (in SGD) | As Adjusted |
|  |  |  |  | Revenues | 50,000,000 |
|  |  |  |  | Cost of goods sold | 30,000,000 |
|  |  |  |  | Gross profit | 20,000,000 |
|  |  |  |  | SG\&A expenses | 3,700,000 |
|  |  |  |  | EBIT | 16,300,000 |
|  |  |  |  | Depreciation and amortization | 900,000 |
|  |  |  |  | Earnings before interest and taxes | 15,400,000 |

Using FLI's tax rate of $17 \%$ and additional information that FLI had capital expenditures of SGD 1,200,000 and increased working capital by SGD 500,000 over the period, Khan solves for a base-year FCFF of SGD 11,982,000:

FCFF $=\operatorname{EBIT}(1-$ Tax rate $)+$ Depreciation(Tax rate) $-\Delta$ LT Assets $-\Delta$ Working Capital

SGD 11,982,000
$=16,300,000 \times(1-0.17)+900,000 \times 0.17-1,200,000-500,000$
With:

| FLI's Normalized Operating Income after Taxes |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| As of 31 December (in SGD) | As Adjusted |
| Revenues | $50,000,000$ |
| Cost of goods sold | $30,000,000$ |
| Gross profit | $20,000,000$ |
| SG\&A expenses | $3,700,000$ |
| EBITDA | $16,300,000$ |
| Depreciation and amortization | 900,000 |
| Earnings before interest and taxes | $15,400,000$ |

Using FLI's tax rate of $17 \%$ and additional information that FLI had capital expenditures of SGD 1,200,000 and increased working capital by SGD 500,000 over the period, Khan solves for a base-year FCFF of SGD 11,982,000:

FCFF $=$ EBITDA(1 - Tax rate) Depreciation(Tax rate) $-\Delta$ LT Assets $-\Delta$ Workin Capital

SGD 11,982,000
$=16,300,000 \times(1-0.17)+900,000 \times 0.17-1,200,000-500,000$

## Fixed Income

The Term Structure and Interest Rate Dynamics

| Lesson | Location | PDF Pg | Revised | Correction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spot Rates, Forward Rates, and the Forward Rate Model | Example 1 <br> Solution 3 <br> \& 4 | 348 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \text { March } \\ & 2024 \end{aligned}$ | Replace: <br> 3. Calculate the forward price of a two-year bond to be issued in one year: $F_{A, B-A}=F_{1,3}$ <br> 4. Interpret your answer to Problem 3. <br> Solution: <br> The forward contract price of $D F_{1,2}=0.8262$ is the price agreed on today ... | With: <br> 3. Calculate the forward price of a two-year bond to be issued in one year: $F_{A, B-A}=F_{1,2} .$ <br> 4. Interpret your answer to Problem 3. <br> Solution: <br> The forward contract price of $\boldsymbol{F}_{1,2}=0.8262$ is the price agreed on today ... |
| YTM in Relation to Spot and Forward Rates | Equations | 360 | $\begin{gathered} 29 \mathrm{Jan} \\ 2024 \end{gathered}$ | Replace: $\begin{aligned} & D F_{1}^{\text {new }}=\frac{D F_{2}}{D F_{1}}=\frac{0.9246}{0.9615}=0.9616 \\ & D F_{2}^{\text {new }}=\frac{D F_{3}}{D F_{1}}=\frac{0.8890}{0.9615}=0.9246 \end{aligned}$ <br> Using Equation 10, the price of the forward contract one year from today is $F_{2,1}^{\text {new }}=\frac{D F_{2}^{\text {new }}}{D F_{1}^{\text {new }}}=\frac{0.9246}{0.9615}=0.9616 .$ | With: $\begin{aligned} & D F_{1}^{\text {new }}=\frac{D F_{2}}{D F_{1}}=\frac{0.9246}{0.9615}=\mathbf{0 . 9 6 1 5} \\ & D F_{2}^{\text {new }}=\frac{D F_{3}}{D F_{1}}=\frac{0.8890}{0.9615}=0.9246 \end{aligned}$ <br> Using Equation 10, the price of the forward contract one year from today is $F_{2,1}^{\text {new }}=\frac{D F_{2}^{\text {new }}}{D F_{1}^{\text {new }}}=\frac{0.9246}{0.9615}=\mathbf{0 . 9 6 1 5}$ |
| YTM in Relation to Spot and Forward Rates | Third paragraph | 360 | $\begin{gathered} 29 \mathrm{Jan} \\ 2024 \end{gathered}$ | Replace: <br> The price of the forward contract is nearly unchanged. | With: <br> The price of the forward contract is unchanged. |


| Lesson | Location | PDF Pg | Revised | Correction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Active Bond Portfolio Management | $3^{\text {rd }} \text { and } 4^{\text {th }}$ <br> paragraphs | 363 | $\begin{gathered} 29 \text { Jan } \\ 2024 \end{gathered}$ | Replace: <br> The $6 \%$ five-year bond purchased for 100 returns 120.61 in two years [( $6 \times 1.02)+6+108.49]$, which consists of the first year's coupon reinvested at the one-year rate, the second annual coupon, and the capital gain on the sale of the $6 \%$ bond with three years to maturity at an unchanged three-year yield of $4 \%$ $\left[108.49=6 / 1.04+6 /(1.04)^{2}+106 /(1.04)^{3}\right]$. The annualized rate of return is $9.823 \%$ [solve for $r$, where $(120.61 / 100)=(1+r)^{2}$ ]. <br> The $7 \%$ six-year bond purchased at par returns 125.03 in two years $[(7 \times 1.02)+7+110.89]$ with an annualized return of $11.817 \%$. The excess return of nearly $2 \%$ results from both higher coupon income than the five-year matched maturity bond as well as a larger capital gain on the sale of the $7 \%$ bond with four years to maturity at an unchanged four-year yield of $5 \%[110.89=$ $\left.7 / 1.05+7 /(1.05)^{2}++7 /(1.05)^{3}+107 /(1.05)^{4}\right]$. | With: <br> The $6 \%$ five-year bond purchased for 100 returns $\mathbf{1 1 7 . 6 7}$ in two years [ $(6 \times 1.02)+6+105.55]$, which consists of the first year's coupon reinvested at the one-year rate, the second annual coupon, and the capital gain on the sale of the $6 \%$ bond with three years to maturity at an unchanged three-year yield of $4 \%$ $\left[105.55=6 / 1.04+6 /(1.04)^{2}+106 /(1.04)^{3}\right]$. The annualized rate of return is $8.476 \%$ [solve for $r$, where $(117.67 / 100)=(1+r)^{2}$ ]. <br> The $7 \%$ six-year bond purchased at par returns 121.23 in two years $[(7 \times 1.02)+7+107.09]$ with an annualized return of 10.10\%. The excess return of nearly $2 \%$ results from both higher coupon income than the five-year matched maturity bond as well as a larger capital gain on the sale of the $7 \%$ bond with four years to maturity at an unchanged four-year yield of $5 \%$ [107.09 = $\left.7 / 1.05+7 /(1.05)^{2}++7 /(1.05)^{3}+107 /(1.05)^{4}\right]$. |
| The Maturity Structure of Yield Curve Volatilities | Equation 15 | 382 | $\begin{gathered} 22 \text { March } \\ 2024 \end{gathered}$ | Replace: <br> Delete extra minus symbol at the end of equation $--3.3333 \Delta z_{10}$ | With: $-3.3333 \Delta z_{10}$ |

## Fixed Income

The Arbitrage-Free Valuation Framework

| Lesson | Location | PDF Pg | Revised | Correction |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Term Structure <br> Models | First <br> sentence <br> under The <br> Kalotay- <br> Williams- <br> Fabozzi <br> model <br> subheader | 441 | 22 March | Replace: <br> The Kalotay-Williams-Fabozzi (KWF) model is analogous to the <br> Ho-Lee model in that it assumes constant drift, no mean <br> reversion, and constant volatility. | With: <br> The Kalotay-Williams-Fabozzi (KWF) model is analogous to the <br> Ho-Lee model in that it assumes constant drift, no mean <br> reversion, and constant volatility. |
| Term Structure <br> Models | Practice <br> Problems <br> 11-19 | 452 | 22 March | Replace: <br> Statement 1: Increasing the number of paths increases the <br> estimate's statistical accuracy. | With: <br> Statement 4: Increasing the number of paths increases the <br> estimate's statistical accuracy. |

## Fixed Income

Valuation and Analysis of Bonds with Embedded

## Options



## Fixed Income

## Credit Analysis Model

| Lesson | Location | PDF Pg | Revised | Correction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Modeling Credit Risk and the Credit Valuation Adjustment | Fifth paragraph | 545 | $\begin{gathered} 22 \text { March } \\ 2024 \end{gathered}$ | Replace: <br> Column 7 gives the expected loss for each date. This is the LGD times the POD. For example, if default occurs on Date 3, the expected loss is 0.6894 per 100 of par value. The exposure is 94.2596. At 40\% recovery, the LGD is 56.5558. Assuming no prior default, the POD for that date is $1.2189 \%$. The expected loss of 0.6894 is calculated as 56.5558 times $1.2189 \%$. | With: <br> Column 7 gives the expected loss for each date. This is the LGD times the POD. For example, if defaultoccurs on Date 3, the expected loss is 0.6894 per 100 of par value. The exposure is 94.2596. At $40 \%$ recovery, the LGD is 56.5558. Assuming no prior default, the POD for that date is $1.2189 \%$. The expected loss of 0.6894 is calculated as 56.5558 times $1.2189 \%$. |
| Credit Analysis for Securitized Debt | Exhibit 3 | 597 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \text { March } \\ & 2024 \end{aligned}$ | Add tree graphic to Exhibit 3: |  |
| Credit Analysis for Securitized Debt | Question $21$ | 599 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \text { March } \\ & 2024 \end{aligned}$ | Replace: <br> Based on the research department assumption about the probability of default in Question 10 and her own assumption in Question 11, which action does Ibarra most likely expect from the credit rating agencies? | With: <br> Based on the research department assumption about the probability of default in Question 18 and her own assumption in Question 19, which action does Ibarra most likely expect from the credit rating agencies? |


| Lesson | Location | PDF Pg | Revised | Correction |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Credit Analysis <br> for Securitized <br> Debt | Solution 17 | 609 | 29 Jan | Replace: <br> Valuation of a four-year, $6 \%$ coupon bond under no default is <br> computed in the solution to Question 8 as $1,144.63$. | With: <br> Valuation of a four-year, $6 \%$ coupon bond under no default is <br> computed in the solution to Question 16 as $1,144.63$. |

## Alternative Investments

Introduction to Commodities and Commodity

## Derivatives

| Lesson | Location | PDF Pg | Revised | Correction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commodity Indexes | Practice <br> Problems <br> relates to <br> questions <br> 16-22 | $\begin{aligned} & 211- \\ & 212 \end{aligned}$ |  | Replace: <br> Statement 1 Roll returns are generally negative when a futures market is in contango. <br> Statement 2 Roll returns are generally positive when a futures market is in backwardation. | With: <br> Statement 4 Roll returns are generally negative when a futures market is in contango. <br> Statement 5 Roll returns are generally positive when a futures market is in backwardation. |

# Ethical and Professional Standards <br> Guidance for Standards I-VII 

| Lesson | Location | PDF Pg | Revised | Correction |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Standard IV(A): <br> Recommended <br> Procedures | Text under <br> Incident- <br> Reporting <br> Procedures <br> header | 266 |  | 29 Jan | Replace: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Report potentially unethical and illegal activities in the firm. |  |  |  |  |  | | With: |
| :--- |
| Members and candidates should be aware of their firm's |
| policies related to whistleblowing and encourage their firm to |
| adopt industry best practices in this area. Many firms are |
| required by regulatory mandates to establish confidential and |
| anonymous reporting procedures that allow employees to |
| report potentially unethical and illegal activities in the firm. |

## Application of the Code and Standards: Level II

| Lesson | Location | PDF Pg | Revised | Correction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JR and Associates | Second to last sentence on page | 398 | $\begin{gathered} 29 \text { Jan } \\ 2024 \end{gathered}$ | Replace: <br> Ode now has three and a half years of experience in the investment industry. | With: <br> Ode now has two and a half years of experience in the investment industry. |
| JR and Associates | Case <br> Questions <br> Solution 9 | 403 | $\begin{gathered} 29 \text { Jan } \\ 2024 \end{gathered}$ | Replace: <br> $B$ is incorrect. To be a CFA charterholder, Ode needs to have completed the required four years of work experience. | With: <br> B is incorrect. To be a CFA charterholder, Ode needs to have completed the required three years of work experience. |
| JR and Associates | Case <br> Questions <br> Solution 9 | 403 | $\begin{gathered} 29 \text { Jan } \\ 2024 \end{gathered}$ | Replace: <br> C is incorrect. The fact that she has completed all three levels of the CFA Program does not make Ode a CFA charterholder. To be a CFA charterholder, she must also have the required four years of work experience. | With: <br> C is incorrect. The fact that she has completed all three levels of the CFA Program does not make Ode a CFA charterholder. To be a CFA charterholder, she must also have the required three years of work experience. |

