Contribution ID: c97e89bf-0e4d-4b82-a0bd-584559a054e5

Date: 30/04/2021 18:22:05

Targeted consultation on supervisory convergence and the single rulebook

Taking stock of the framework for supervising European capital markets, banks, insurers and pension funds

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

There has been considerable progress on both supervisory convergence and the single rulebook since the three <u>Europe an Supervisory Authorities (ESAs)</u> were created in 2011. Nevertheless, both require continued and appropriately targeted efforts to make further progress. In this context, the <u>Commission's capital markets union (CMU) action plan published on 24 September 2020 includes the following action:</u>

CMU action plan - Action 16: The Commission will work towards an enhanced single rulebook for capital markets L assessing the need for further harmonisation of EU rules and monitoring progress towards supervisory convergence. will take stock of what has been achieved in Q4 2021 and consider proposing measures for stronger supervisor coordination or direct supervision by the European Supervisory Authorities.

The Commission will also carefully assess the implications of the *Wirecard* case for the regulation and supervision (EU capital markets and act to address any shortcomings that are identified in the EU legal framework.

The <u>CMU</u> is the EU's plan to create a truly single market for capital across the EU. It aims to get investment and savings flowing to the companies and projects that need them across all Member States, benefitting citizens, investors and companies, regardless of where they are located. The CMU provides new sources of funding for businesses, helps increase options for savers and makes the economy more resilient.

Without well-developed and integrated capital markets, there can be no economic prosperity. And without supervision, capital markets could not contribute to economic prosperity. Supervision is an essential condition for a well-functioning CMU. This will be particularly relevant in a post-Brexit world with multiple financial centres across the EU. Gradual progress towards more integrated capital markets supervision will be indispensable.

It is essential for people and firms to have confidence in the financial system and also for the providers of financial services to operate in a stable and fair environment. Supervision should ensure that divergences in outcomes of supervisory practices in Member States do not undermine confidence, stability, investor protection and fairness in the

Single Market. The three European Supervisory Authorities (ESAs) are mandated to ensure the convergence of supervisory practices among the national competent authorities (Within the <u>banking union</u>, the <u>single supervisory mechanism</u> ensures uniform supervision of banks. For banking resolution, the <u>single resolution board</u> is directly responsible for resolution planning and decisions for all significant banks and cross-border ones). In addition, the <u>European Securities Markets Authority</u>, is responsible for direct supervision of some market activities and market operators. However, supervisory convergence reaches its limits where the national rules that supervisors have to apply and enforce differ between Member States or where the common European rules leave room for interpretation or too much discretion to Member States for its transposition, application and enforcement. The ambition for a European single rulebook therefore seeks to reduce differences between national laws and to provide more detailed rules where it is important for stability and fairness in the Single Market. Taken together, supervisory convergence and the single rulebook provide the framework for effective and efficient supervision.

The input to this consultation, which seeks to take stock of what has been achieved so far, will feed into the preparation of the report required by the CMU action plan which will cover the review required under the ESAs founding Regulations as well (Article 81 of the ESAs founding Regulations requires the Commission to review the functioning of the ESAs every 3 years, and next time by end 2021). This consultation seeks targeted views on certain aspects related to the 2019 ESAs review (The ESAs founding regulations were amended in 2019. These recent legislative changes entered into force in January 2020: Regulation (EU) 2019/2175, which reviews the powers, governance and funding of the ESAs, EBA Regulation consolidated version of 1 January 2020, EIOPA Regulation consolidated version of 1 January 2020) and contributes to a wider debate on supervisory convergence and the single rulebook.

Please note that not all questions are relevant for all stakeholders and that you are not expected to reply to each question. Please indicate the ESA for which the reply is intended.

Please note: In order to ensure a fair and transparent consultation process only responses received through our online questionnaire will be taken into account and included in the report summarising the responses. Should you have a problem completing this questionnaire or if you require particular assistance, please contact <u>fisma-esas-review@ec.europa.eu</u>.

More information on

- this consultation
- the consultation document
- the European system of financial supervision
- the protection of personal data regime for this consultation

About you

- *Language of my contribution
 - Bulgarian
 - Croatian
 - Czech

| | Danish |
|-------|-------------------------------------|
| 0 | Dutch |
| • | English |
| 0 | Estonian |
| 0 | Finnish |
| | French |
| | German |
| | Greek |
| | Hungarian |
| | Irish |
| | Italian |
| 0 | Latvian |
| 0 | Lithuanian |
| 0 | Maltese |
| | Polish |
| 0 | Portuguese |
| | Romanian |
| | Slovak |
| | Slovenian |
| 0 | Spanish |
| 0 | Swedish |
| *I am | giving my contribution as |
| | Academic/research institution |
| 0 | Business association |
| 0 | Company/business organisation |
| 0 | Consumer organisation |
| | EU citizen |
| | Environmental organisation |
| | Non-EU citizen |
| | Non-governmental organisation (NGO) |
| | Public authority |
| | Trade union |
| • | Other |

| *First name | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Josina | | | |
| *Surname | | | |
| KAMERLING | | | |
| *Email (this won't be pu | ublished) | | |
| josina.kamerling@cfainsti | itute.org | | |
| *Are you a member of a | an ESA Stakeholde | er Group? | |
| Yes | | | |
| No | | | |
| Don't know / no | ppinion / not applic | able | |
| *Organisation name | | | |
| 255 character(s) maximum | | | |
| CFA Institute | | | |
| *Organisation size | | | |
| Micro (1 to 9 emp | oloyees) | | |
| Small (10 to 49 e | employees) | | |
| Medium (50 to 24) | 49 employees) | | |
| Large (250 or mo | ore) | | |
| Transparency register | number | | |
| 255 character(s) maximum | | | |
| Check if your organisation is of influence EU decision-making | | er. It's a voluntary database fo | or organisations seeking to |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| *Country of origin | | | |
| Please add your country of ori | gin, or that of your organi | sation. | |
| Afghanistan | Djibouti | Libya | Saint Martin |
| Aland Islands | Dominica | Liechtenstein | Saint Pierre and Miquelon |

| Albania | DominicanRepublic | Lithuania | Saint Vincent and the Grenadines |
|---------------------|---|------------------|--|
| Algeria | Ecuador | Luxembourg | Samoa |
| American Samoa | Egypt | Macau | San Marino |
| Andorra | El Salvador | Madagascar | São Tomé and Príncipe |
| Angola | Equatorial Guinea | Malawi | Saudi Arabia |
| Anguilla | Eritrea | Malaysia | Senegal |
| Antarctica | Estonia | Maldives | Serbia |
| Antigua and Barbuda | Eswatini | Mali | Seychelles |
| Argentina | Ethiopia | Malta | Sierra Leone |
| Armenia | Falkland Islands | Marshall Islands | Singapore |
| Aruba | Faroe Islands | Martinique | Sint Maarten |
| Australia | Fiji | Mauritania | Slovakia |
| Austria | Finland | Mauritius | Slovenia |
| Azerbaijan | France | Mayotte | SolomonIslands |
| Bahamas | French Guiana | Mexico | Somalia |
| Bahrain | French Polynesia | Micronesia | South Africa |
| Bangladesh | FrenchSouthern andAntarctic Lands | Moldova | South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands |
| Barbados | Gabon | Monaco | South Korea |
| Belarus | Georgia | Mongolia | South Sudan |
| Belgium | Germany | Montenegro | Spain |
| Belize | Ghana | Montserrat | Sri Lanka |
| Benin | Gibraltar | Morocco | Sudan |
| Bermuda | Greece | Mozambique | Suriname |

| 0 | Bhutan | 0 | Greenland | 0 | Myanmar | 0 | Svalbard and |
|---|-----------------|---|---------------|---|-----------------|---|----------------|
| | | | | | /Burma | | Jan Mayen |
| | Bolivia | 0 | Grenada | 0 | Namibia | 0 | Sweden |
| | Bonaire Saint | | Guadeloupe | 0 | Nauru | | Switzerland |
| | Eustatius and | | | | | | |
| | Saba | | | | | | |
| | Bosnia and | | Guam | 0 | Nepal | | Syria |
| | Herzegovina | | | | | | |
| 0 | Botswana | | Guatemala | 0 | Netherlands | | Taiwan |
| 0 | Bouvet Island | | Guernsey | | New Caledonia | | Tajikistan |
| 0 | Brazil | | Guinea | | New Zealand | | Tanzania |
| 0 | British Indian | | Guinea-Bissau | | Nicaragua | | Thailand |
| | Ocean Territory | | | | | | |
| 0 | British Virgin | | Guyana | | Niger | | The Gambia |
| | Islands | | | | | | |
| 0 | Brunei | | Haiti | | Nigeria | | Timor-Leste |
| 0 | Bulgaria | | Heard Island | | Niue | | Togo |
| | | | and McDonald | | | | |
| | | | Islands | | | | |
| 0 | Burkina Faso | | Honduras | | Norfolk Island | | Tokelau |
| 0 | Burundi | | Hong Kong | | Northern | | Tonga |
| | | | | | Mariana Islands | | |
| 0 | Cambodia | | Hungary | | North Korea | | Trinidad and |
| | | | | | | | Tobago |
| 0 | Cameroon | | Iceland | | North | | Tunisia |
| | | | | | Macedonia | | |
| 0 | Canada | | India | | Norway | | Turkey |
| 0 | Cape Verde | | Indonesia | | Oman | | Turkmenistan |
| 0 | Cayman Islands | | Iran | | Pakistan | | Turks and |
| | | | | | | | Caicos Islands |
| 0 | Central African | | Iraq | | Palau | | Tuvalu |
| | Republic | | | | | | |
| 0 | Chad | | Ireland | | Palestine | | Uganda |
| 0 | Chile | | Isle of Man | | Panama | | Ukraine |

| China | Israel | 0 | Papua New | 0 | United Arab |
|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | Emirates |
| | Italy | | Paraguay | | United |
| | | | 5 | | Kingdom |
| • • | | | | | United States |
| , ,,, | Japan | | Philippines | | United States |
| Islands | | | | | Minor Outlying |
| O a la mala la | O 1 | 0 | Ditanius Inlauda | (A) | Islands |
| | | | | 0 | Uruguay |
| Comoros | Jordan | | Poland | | US Virgin |
| 0 | | 0 | Destruct | 0 | Islands |
| _ | | 0 | _ | 0 | Uzbekistan |
| | | | | | Vanuatu |
| | | | | | Vatican City |
| | | | | | Venezuela |
| | | | | | Vietnam |
| Cuba | Kyrgyzstan | | Russia | | Wallis and |
| | | | | | Futuna |
| Curaçao | Laos | | Rwanda | | Western |
| | | | | | Sahara |
| Cyprus | Latvia | | | | Yemen |
| | | | | | |
| Czechia | Lebanon | | | | Zambia |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Damaanatia | O Location | 0 | | 0 | Zinala alauna |
| | Lesotno | | | | Zimbabwe |
| • | | | INEVIS | | |
| _ | Liboria | 0 | Saint Lucia | | |
| Dennark | Liberia | | Sairit Lucia | | |
| of activity or secto | or (if applicable): | | | | |
| Accounting | | | | | |
| Auditing | | | | | |
| Banking | | | | | |
| Credit rating agen | cies | | | | |
| | Christmas sland Clipperton Cocos (Keeling) slands Colombia Comoros Congo Cook Islands Costa Rica Côte d'Ivoire Croatia Cuba Curaçao Cyprus Czechia Cemocratic Republic of the Congo Cenmark of activity or secto Accounting Auditing Banking | Christmas sland Clipperton Cocos (Keeling) slands Colombia Comoros Comoros Cook Islands Cook Islands Costa Rica Cote d'Ivoire Croatia Cuba Curaçao Cur | Christmas sland Clipperton Jamaica Cocos (Keeling) slands Colombia Jersey Comoros Jordan Congo Kazakhstan Cook Islands Kenya Costa Rica Kiribati Côte d'Ivoire Kosovo Croatia Kuwait Cuba Kyrgyzstan Curaçao Laos Cyprus Latvia Czechia Lebanon Cemocratic Lesotho Republic of the Congo Congo Conmark Liberia Cof activity or sector (if applicable): Accounting Canding Candi | Christmas Sland Clipperton Clippe | Christmas Italy Paraguay Sland Clipperton Jamaica Peru Cocos (Keeling) Japan Philippines Slands Colombia Jersey Pitcairn Islands Comoros Jordan Portugal Cook Islands Kenya Puerto Rico Costa Rica Kiribati Qatar Côte d'Ivoire Kosovo Réunion Croatia Kuwait Romania Cuba Kyrgyzstan Russia Curaçao Laos Rwanda Cyprus Latvia Saint Barthélemy Czechia Lebanon Saint Helena Ascension and Tristan da Cunha Congo Coenmark Liberia Saint Lucia Caccounting Cauditing Cauditing Cauditing Cauditing Canking Caraguay Caragua |

| | Insurance |
|----------|--|
| | Pension provision |
| | Investment management (e.g. hedge funds, private equity funds, venture |
| | capital funds, money market funds, securities) |
| | Market infrastructure operation (e.g. CCPs, CSDs, Stock exchanges) |
| | Social entrepreneurship |
| | Other |
| V | Not applicable |

The Commission will publish all contributions to this consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. For the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

*Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

ESA(s) you want to focus on

8

About which ESA(s) will you be providing responses in this questionnaire?

Please select the **ESA** that vou know best. You can select two the three ESAs. one, or In case you choose more than one ESA you will be asked, in certain questions, to provide answers for each ESA.

at least 1 choice(s)

- About the European Banking Authority (EBA)
- About the European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA)
- About the European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority (EIOPA)

A. Questions for the assessment of the European Supervisory Authorities (ESAs) and the recent changes in their founding Regulations

Please click on next to respond to the questions.

General questions

Question I. ESMA: How do you assess the impact of each ESMA's activities on the following aspects?

| | (less significant impact | (not so significant impact) | 3 (neutral) | 4 (significant impact) | 5 (most significant impact) | Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| The financial system as a whole | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 |
| Financial stability | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 |
| The functioning of the internal market | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 |
| The quality and consistency of supervision | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The enforcement of EU rules on supervision | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Strengthening international supervisory coordination | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 |
| Consumer and investor protection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Financial innovation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainable finance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Please explain your answer to question I on ESMA:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

A significant issue is that national supervisors have sole competence in consumer protection and financial education. Furthermore, directives facilitate divergence at national level through transposition and local interpretation processes.

Question II. ESMA: In your view, do ESMA's mandate cover all necessary tasks and powers to contribute to the stability and to the well-functioning of the financial system?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

If you think that there are elements which should be added or removed from ESMA's mandate, please provide a substantiated answer:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

There should be a redress mechanism at ESAs level for investors, as well as an ombudsman at ESAs level for cross-border investors and to help build convergence.

Question III. ESMA: In your view, does ESMA face any obstacles in delivering on their mandates?

- Yes
- O No
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain what you consider to be the main obstacles for ESMA:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

CFA Institute released a study on product governance in May 2020:

The Brave New World of Product Governance in the EU Asset Management Industry (https://www.cfainstitute.org/research/survey-reports/the-brave-new-world-of-product-governance-in-the-eu-asset-

management-industry).

In this paper, we were analyzing the responses we obtained to a member survey of CFA Institute charterholders based in Europe on issues related to product governance, distribution, product harmonization, transparency and disclosure rules. We have also asked the membership about the issue of supervisory convergence and the need for ESMA to be granted more centralized supervisory powers (see section 7.6). A large majority of 69% of respondents agreed with the proposal for ESMA to gain centralized supervisory powers, showing that convergence is a key aspect for the build-up of a successful CMU, through harmonized and streamlined disclosure rules as well as more effective oversight of cross-border product distribution rules, which would help reduce the bloated matrix that has become marketing in the EU.

1. The supervisory convergence tasks of the ESAs

1.1 Common supervisory culture/supervisory convergence

Question 1.1.1 ESMA: To what extent does ESMA contribute to promoting a common supervisory culture and consistent supervisory practices?

- 1 the less significant contribution
- 0 2
- 3
- [©] 4
- 5 the most significant contribution
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your answer to question 1.1.1 for ESMA and indicate if there are any areas for improvement:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Unfortunately, divergence at national level and the lack of enforcement at ESA level prevent further convergence.

Question 1.1.2 ESMA: To what extent the following tasks undertaken by ESMA have effectively contributed to building a common supervisory culture and consistent supervisory practices in the EU?

| | (less significant contribution | (not so significant contribution) | 3 (neutral) | 4 (significant contribution) | (most significant contribution) | Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Providing opinions to competent authorities | 0 | 0 | • | © | 0 | 0 |
| Promoting bilateral and multilateral exchanges of information between competent authorities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 |
| Contributing to developing high quality and uniform supervisory standards | 0 | • | 0 | • | • | 0 |
| Contributing to developing high quality and uniform reporting standards | • | 0 | • | • | 0 | 0 |
| Developing and reviewing the application of technical standards | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 |
| Contributing to the development of sectoral legislation by providing advice to the Commission | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 |
| Establishing (cross)sectoral training programmes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | • |
| Producing reports relating to their field of activities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Conducting peer reviews between competent authorities | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 |

| Determining new Union strategic supervisory priorities | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Establishing coordination groups | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 |
| Developing Union supervisory handbooks | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Monitoring and assessing environmental, social and governance- related risks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | • |
| Adopting measures using emergency powers | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Investigating breaches of Union law | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 |
| Coordinating actions of competent authorities in emergency situations (e.g. Covid-19 crisis) | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mediating between competent authorities | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Monitoring the work of supervisory and resolution colleges | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | • |
| Publishing on their website information relating to their field of activities | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Monitoring market developments | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 |
| Initiating and coordinating Union-wide stress tests of financial institutions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | • |
| Developing guidelines and recommendations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 |
| Developing Q&As | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | | |

| Contributing to the establishment of a common Union financial data strategy | • | • | • | © | • | • |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Providing supervisory statements | © | © | • | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other instruments and tools to promote supervisory convergence | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | • |

Please add any qualitative comments you may wish to explain your reasoning when answering question 1.1.2 on ESMA:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Promoting bilateral and multilateral exchanges of information between competent authorities: The ESAs, through the standing committees, have been working on building convergence.

Contributing to developing high quality and uniform supervisory standards: FAQs have significantly helped. However, further work needs to be done on making sure market participants have clear guidelines.

Contributing to the development of sectoral legislation by providing advice to the Commission: In recent

times, the ESAs have continued to provide advice on further developing sectoral legislation.

Producing reports relating to their field of activities: The annual reports on activities are excellent. Coordinating actions of competent authorities in emergency situations (e.g. Covid-19 crisis): ESAs have

coordinating actions of competent authorities in emergency situations (e.g. Covid-19 crisis): ESAs have coordinated a response in emergency situations, but still need to bring out stronger voice. However, this requires a change to powers and constitution.

Contributing to the establishment of a common Union financial data strategy: This would be a game changer if it can be established at EU level.

Other instruments and tools to promote supervisory convergence, please indicate: The use of mystery shopping, naming and shaming a code of conduct for finance professionals, such as CFA code of standards could underpin transparency and confidence in markets. We should have a single EU credential framework, which is not based on national lines.

Question 1.1.3 ESMA: One of the roles of ESMA is to promote and facilitate the functioning of supervisory colleges, where established by sector legislation, and foster the consistency of the application of Union law a m o n g

Please rate ESMA's contribution to the objectives below:

| | (less significant contribution | (not so significant contribution) | 3 (neutral) | 4 (significant contribution) | 5 (most significant contribution) | Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|--|---|
| Promote the effective and efficient functioning of colleges of supervisors | 0 | • | • | • | • | 0 |
| Foster consistency in the application of Union law among colleges | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Promote converging supervisory practices among colleges | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Please explain your reasoning when answering question 1.1.3 on ESMA:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Promote the effective and efficient functioning of colleges of supervisors: The standing committees have CWG experts, who take part in meetings but essentially, they talk and supervisors listen. It would be better to have a real exchange of views and use case studies to move supervisory convergence.

Foster consistency in the application of Union law among colleges: There is need for one EU financial legal system with a EU arbitrage court and ombudsman, including EU single redress measures. Prof. Marco Lamandini of Bologna university has written about this in his publications. We recommend reading his book (co-written with D. Ramos Muñoz) "EU Financial Law. An Introduction".

In the framework of the 2019 ESAs review:

| Question | 1.1.4 | How | do | you | assess | the | new | process | for | questions | and |
|-----------|---------|------|----|-----|--------|-----|-----|---------|-----|-----------|-----|
| answers (| Article | 16b) | ? | | | | | | | | |

| character(s |) maximum | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------|
| ing spaces a | nd line breaks, i.e. str | ricter than the MS | Word characters | counting method. | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| tion 1 1 | .5 In your view | does the n | ow process | for augetion | e and ane |
| | • | • | • | - | |
| <i>i</i> for an | efficient proc | ess for ans | swering que | estions and | for promo |
| rvicory | convergence? | | | | |

- Yes
- O No
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

1.2 No action letters

In the framework of the 2019 ESAs review:

Question 1.2.1 In your view, is the new mechanism of no action letters (Article 9a of the ESMA/EIOPA Regulations and Article 9c EBA Regulation) fit for its intended purpose?

- Yes
- O No
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

| 5000 character(s) maximum | riotor than the MC Word obernators assisting method |
|---|--|
| including spaces and line breaks, i.e. st | ricter than the MS Word characters counting method. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Question 1.2.2 How does | the new mechanism, in your view, compare with |
| "no action letters" in other | |
| 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. st | ricter than the MS Word characters counting method. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Question 1.2.3 ESMA: Co | uld you provide examples where the use of no |
| | een useful or could be useful in the future? |
| 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks i.e. st | ricter than the MS Word characters counting method. |
| middaing opacoo and into produce, not of | note: than the trend characters searting method. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 1.3 Peer reviews | |
| 1.3 Peer reviews | |
| | eviews organised by the ESAs have contributed to the convergence |
| | reviews organised by the ESAs have contributed to the convergence I i s t e d b e I o w ? |

Please distinguishing between the situation before the 2019 review and afterwards:

19

Situation **before** the 2019 ESAs review for ESMA:

| | (less significant contribution | (not so significant contribution) | 3 (neutral) | 4 (significant contribution) | 5 (most significant contribution) | Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|--|---|
| Convergence in the application of Union law | 0 | 0 | © | 0 | 0 | • |
| Convergence in supervisory practices | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| More wide spread application of best practices developed by other competent authorities | © | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Convergence in the enforcement of provisions adopted in the implementation of Union law | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Further harmonisation of Union rules | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Situation after the 2019 ESAs review for ESMA:

| | (less significant contribution | (not so significant contribution) | 3 (neutral) | 4 (significant contribution) | 5 (most significant contribution) | Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|--|---|
| Convergence in the application of Union law | 0 | © | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Convergence in supervisory practices | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| More wide spread application of best practices developed by other competent authorities | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 |
| Convergence in the enforcement of provisions adopted in the implementation of Union law | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 |
| Further harmonisation of Union rules | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

give examples: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Please explain your reasoning when answering question 1.3.1 for ESMA and

Question 1.3.2 How do you assess the impact of each of the changes below introduced by 2019 ESAs review in the peer review process?

| 1 (least effective) | 2 (rather not effective) | 3 (neutral) | 4 (rather effective) | 5 (most effective) | Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| © | © | © | © | © | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| • | © | 0 | • | • | • |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | effective) | (least effective) (rather not effective) | (least effective) (rather not effective) (neutral) | (least effective) (rather not effective) (neutral) (rather effective) | (least effective) (rather not effective) (neutral) (rather effective) (most effective) |

| The Management Board is consulted in order to maintain | | © | © | © |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| consistency with other peer reviews reports and to ensure a level | | | | |
| playing field. | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Question 1.3.3 ESMA: Do you think mandatory recurring peer reviews, covering also enforcement aspects, could be introduced in some sectoral legislation? Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not relevant Please specify the piece of legislation and concrete provision under which mandatory peer reviews could be introduced for ESMA: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. When assessing liquidity risk, leverage risk and market risk, given that funds are oftentimes nowadays operating in several jurisdictions (domiciliation versus operational bases), it could make sense to ensure that the analysis takes place among colleges, with a peer review, to ensure that the conclusion appreciates the multi-dimensional aspects of the problems. |
|--|
| covering also enforcement aspects, could be introduced in some sectoral legislation? Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not relevant Please specify the piece of legislation and concrete provision under which mandatory peer reviews could be introduced for ESMA: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. When assessing liquidity risk, leverage risk and market risk, given that funds are oftentimes nowadays operating in several jurisdictions (domiciliation versus operational bases), it could make sense to ensure that the analysis takes place among colleges, with a peer review, to ensure that the conclusion appreciates the |
| covering also enforcement aspects, could be introduced in some sectoral legislation? Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not relevant Please specify the piece of legislation and concrete provision under which mandatory peer reviews could be introduced for ESMA: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. When assessing liquidity risk, leverage risk and market risk, given that funds are oftentimes nowadays operating in several jurisdictions (domiciliation versus operational bases), it could make sense to ensure that the analysis takes place among colleges, with a peer review, to ensure that the conclusion appreciates the |
| covering also enforcement aspects, could be introduced in some sectoral legislation? Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not relevant Please specify the piece of legislation and concrete provision under which mandatory peer reviews could be introduced for ESMA: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. When assessing liquidity risk, leverage risk and market risk, given that funds are oftentimes nowadays operating in several jurisdictions (domiciliation versus operational bases), it could make sense to ensure that the analysis takes place among colleges, with a peer review, to ensure that the conclusion appreciates the |
| covering also enforcement aspects, could be introduced in some sectoral legislation? Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not relevant Please specify the piece of legislation and concrete provision under which mandatory peer reviews could be introduced for ESMA: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. When assessing liquidity risk, leverage risk and market risk, given that funds are oftentimes nowadays operating in several jurisdictions (domiciliation versus operational bases), it could make sense to ensure that the analysis takes place among colleges, with a peer review, to ensure that the conclusion appreciates the |
| covering also enforcement aspects, could be introduced in some sectoral legislation? Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not relevant Please specify the piece of legislation and concrete provision under which mandatory peer reviews could be introduced for ESMA: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. When assessing liquidity risk, leverage risk and market risk, given that funds are oftentimes nowadays operating in several jurisdictions (domiciliation versus operational bases), it could make sense to ensure that the analysis takes place among colleges, with a peer review, to ensure that the conclusion appreciates the |
| covering also enforcement aspects, could be introduced in some sectoral legislation? Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not relevant Please specify the piece of legislation and concrete provision under which mandatory peer reviews could be introduced for ESMA: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. When assessing liquidity risk, leverage risk and market risk, given that funds are oftentimes nowadays operating in several jurisdictions (domiciliation versus operational bases), it could make sense to ensure that the analysis takes place among colleges, with a peer review, to ensure that the conclusion appreciates the |
| covering also enforcement aspects, could be introduced in some sectoral legislation? Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not relevant Please specify the piece of legislation and concrete provision under which mandatory peer reviews could be introduced for ESMA: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. When assessing liquidity risk, leverage risk and market risk, given that funds are oftentimes nowadays operating in several jurisdictions (domiciliation versus operational bases), it could make sense to ensure that the analysis takes place among colleges, with a peer review, to ensure that the conclusion appreciates the |
| Please specify the piece of legislation and concrete provision under which mandatory peer reviews could be introduced for ESMA: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. When assessing liquidity risk, leverage risk and market risk, given that funds are oftentimes nowadays operating in several jurisdictions (domiciliation versus operational bases), it could make sense to ensure that the analysis takes place among colleges, with a peer review, to ensure that the conclusion appreciates the |
| Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not relevant Please specify the piece of legislation and concrete provision under which mandatory peer reviews could be introduced for ESMA: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. When assessing liquidity risk, leverage risk and market risk, given that funds are oftentimes nowadays operating in several jurisdictions (domiciliation versus operational bases), it could make sense to ensure that the analysis takes place among colleges, with a peer review, to ensure that the conclusion appreciates the |
| Don't know / no opinion / not relevant Please specify the piece of legislation and concrete provision under which mandatory peer reviews could be introduced for ESMA: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. When assessing liquidity risk, leverage risk and market risk, given that funds are oftentimes nowadays operating in several jurisdictions (domiciliation versus operational bases), it could make sense to ensure that the analysis takes place among colleges, with a peer review, to ensure that the conclusion appreciates the |
| Please specify the piece of legislation and concrete provision under which mandatory peer reviews could be introduced for ESMA: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. When assessing liquidity risk, leverage risk and market risk, given that funds are oftentimes nowadays operating in several jurisdictions (domiciliation versus operational bases), it could make sense to ensure that the analysis takes place among colleges, with a peer review, to ensure that the conclusion appreciates the |
| Please specify the piece of legislation and concrete provision under which mandatory peer reviews could be introduced for ESMA: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. When assessing liquidity risk, leverage risk and market risk, given that funds are oftentimes nowadays operating in several jurisdictions (domiciliation versus operational bases), it could make sense to ensure that the analysis takes place among colleges, with a peer review, to ensure that the conclusion appreciates the |
| mandatory peer reviews could be introduced for ESMA: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. When assessing liquidity risk, leverage risk and market risk, given that funds are oftentimes nowadays operating in several jurisdictions (domiciliation versus operational bases), it could make sense to ensure that the analysis takes place among colleges, with a peer review, to ensure that the conclusion appreciates the |
| operating in several jurisdictions (domiciliation versus operational bases), it could make sense to ensure that the analysis takes place among colleges, with a peer review, to ensure that the conclusion appreciates the |
| |
| Question 1.3.4 Are there improvements that could be made to the peer review process? Output Nes |
| No |
| Don't know / no opinion / not relevant |
| 1.4 Other tasks and powers |
| Question 1.4.1 ESMA: In your view, is the collection of information regime (Art 35 ESAs Regulations) effective? |
| © Yes |
| No |

Don't know / no opinion / not relevant Question 1.4.2 In the framework of the 2019 ESAs review, in you view, are the new Union strategic supervisory priorities an effective tool to ensure more focused convergence priorities and more coherent coordination (Article 29a **ESAs Regulations)?** Yes O No Don't know / no opinion / not relevant If you identify any areas for improvement, please explain: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. Question 1.4.3 ESMA: Do you think there is the need to amend or add a tool to the toolkit of the ESAs for achieving supervisory convergence? Yes O No Don't know / no opinion / not relevant Question 1.4.4 Please assess the significance of the new ESAs' task of fostering and monitoring the supervisory independence of national competent authorities: 1 - Not significant at all 2 - Rather not significant 3 - Neutral 4 - Rather significant 5 - Very significant Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your answer to question 1.4.4:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Question 1.4.5 What criteria would be the most relevant, in you view, for the ESAs to perform effectively their new task of fostering and monitoring supervisory independence of national competent authorities?

| | 1 (irrelevant) | (rather not relevant) | 3 (neutral) | 4 (rather relevant) | 5 (fully relevant) | Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable |
|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Operational independence | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 |
| Financial independence | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 |
| Appointment and dismissal of governing body | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 |
| Accountability and transparency | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 |
| Adequacy of powers and ability to apply them | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Please explain your answers to question 1.4.5: |
|--|
| 5000 character(s) maximum |
| including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Question 1.4.6 ESMA: What are, in your view, the main remaining obstacle(s) |
| to allow for a more effective supervisory convergence? |
| 5000 character(s) maximum |
| including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. |
| Significant differences in the implementation and enforcement of several EU directives are still present across member states. The ESAs should be granted more supervisory powers to ensure a more effective harmonisation and consistency in supervision and enforcement practices from national competent authorities. |
| Gold plating practices should be looked into with more scrutiny and, where applicable, required to be justified. Enforcement actions could gain from greater supervisory convergence to ensure a level playing field for firms across the Union. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Question 1.4.7 ESMA: Do you consider that ESMA ensures that enough |
| information on their activities and on financial institutions is available? |
| Yes |
| © No |
| |
| Don't know / no opinion / not relevant |
| Question 1.4.9 Do you consider that the nurness and outcome of inquiries |
| Question 1.4.8 Do you consider that the purpose and outcome of inquiries |
| under Article 22.4 is clear? |
| © Yes |
| No |
| Don't know / no opinion / not relevant |
| Question 1.4.9 In your view, is there the need to add any tools or tasks in |

order to enhance supervisory convergence towards digital finance?

Yes

29

| [◎] No |
|---------------------------------------|
| Don't know / no opinion / not relevan |

If there is need to add tools or tasks, please specify which one(s) and explain:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Yes, enhancing supervisory convergence towards digital finance requires a common database, monitoring at ESAs level, and the consideration of behavioural scientists' analysis in ESAs work (behavioural scientists could work with ESAs teams).

Question 1.4.10 Please assess the effectiveness of supervisory convergence tools developed by the ESAs (e.g. common supervisory actions, real case discussions, etc.) for achieving supervisory convergence:

| 1 - | Lea | ct c | 1tta | ∩†ı\. | Δ |
|-----|-----------|------|---|-------|--------------|
| | $ \cup$ a | OL U | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | JLI V | \mathbf{c} |

- 2 Rather not effective
- 3 Neutral
- 4 Rather effective
- 5 Very effective
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your answer to question 1.4.10:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

The development of these tools has not yet led to big steps towards the achievement of supervisory convergence. These initiatives could help ESAs identify situations in which member states apply EU requirements in a different manner, but alone are not sufficient to ensure greater harmonisation. Increasing powers and responsibilities should be granted to the ESAs to ensure consistent implementation and application of EU rules.

1.5 Breach of Union law and dispute settlement

Question 1.5.1 Do you think that the ESAs' powers in relation to breaches of Union law (Article 17 ESAs' Regulations) and binding mediation (Article 19 ESAs' Regulations) are effective?

| Yes | , |
|-----|---|
|-----|---|

O No

Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

| | • | | 1.5.1: |
|-----------------|-------------------|--|---|
| i o otrio | tar than | the MC | Mard abaracters accusting mathed |
| i.e. stric | ter than | the MS | vord characters counting method. |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| _ | | nk tha | t the use of the breach of Union law |
| adequ | ıate? | | |
| Yes | No | N.A. | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| there | be o | other | nstruments available to the ESAs to |
| non-a | pplica | ation | or incorrect application of Union law |
| ex-po | st? | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| nion / | not re | elevant | |
| ما مدا ما | that t | | |
| tnink | uiati | the ne | w written non-objection procedure by |
| | | | |
| ndep | ender | nt pan | els for the decisions on breaches of |
| ndepo settle | endei ment | nt pan | w written non-objection procedure by els for the decisions on breaches of duced in the 2019 ESAs' review have ses? |
| ndepo settle | endei ment | nt pan s intro | els for the decisions on breaches of duced in the 2019 ESAs' review have |
| ndepo settle | endei ment | nt pan s intro | els for the decisions on breaches of duced in the 2019 ESAs' review have |
| | There non-apex-po | Do you thing adequate? Yes No wer to questive than there be on applicated ex-post? | Yes No N.A. Swer to question i.e. stricter than the MS V there be other into the mon-application of |

| iease expia 5000 character(s | n your answe <i>maximum</i> | i to questio | _ | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| | nd line breaks, i.e. s | stricter than the M | S Word characte | ers counting meth | od. |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Duestion 1 ¹ | 5 FSMA: Do | n vou think | that FSM | Δ has alwa | ys acted, where |
| | er Article 17 a | _ | | | - |
| Yes | Altiole II a | | | Ao Hogalati | |
| | | | | | |
| [©] No | | | | | |
| Don't kn | ow / no opinior | n / not releva | nt | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Question 1. | 5.6 ESMA: Co | ould you p | rovide cor | ncrete exam | iples where the |
| ntroduction | of further bir | ndina medis | ation provi | sions in sec | ctoral legislation |
| | | Hulliu III c uic | ALIOII DIOVI | | |
| ould be use | | namy means | ation provi | | |
| | ful? | namy mean | ation provi | | . |
| 5000 character(s | ful? maximum | _ | - | | _ |
| 5000 character(s | ful? | _ | - | | - |
| 5000 character(s | ful? maximum | _ | - | | |
| 5000 character(s | ful? maximum | _ | - | | _ |
| 5000 character(s | ful? maximum | _ | - | | _ |
| 5000 character(s | ful? maximum | _ | - | | _ |
| 5000 character(s | ful? maximum | _ | - | | _ |
| 5000 character(s | ful? maximum | _ | - | | _ |
| 5000 character(s | ful? maximum | _ | - | | _ |
| 5000 character(s | ful? maximum | _ | - | | - |
| sould be use 5000 character(s) ncluding spaces a | ful? maximum | _ | - | | _ |
| 5000 character(s | ful? maximum | _ | - | | - |
| 5000 character(s | ful? maximum | _ | - | | |
| 5000 character(s | ful? maximum | _ | - | | _ |
| 5000 character(s) | ful? maximum nd line breaks, i.e. s | stricter than the M | S Word characte | ers counting meth | od. |
| 5000 character(s) ncluding spaces a | ful? maximum nd line breaks, i.e. s | stricter than the M | S Word characte | ers counting meth | od. MA's powers has |
| 5000 character(s) | ful? maximum nd line breaks, i.e. s | stricter than the M | S Word characte | ers counting meth | od. MA's powers has |
| Question 1.5 | ful? maximum nd line breaks, i.e. s 7 ESMA: Why | y do you thin | S Word characte | of these ES | od. MA's powers has |
| Question 1.5 | ful? maximum nd line breaks, i.e. s | y do you thin | S Word characte | of these ES | od. MA's powers has |
| Question 1.5 | ful? maximum nd line breaks, i.e. s 7 ESMA: Why n how these p | y do you thin | S Word characte | of these ES | - |

| 1.6 Emergency situations and response to COVID-19 crisis |
|---|
| Question 1.6.1 ESMA: Please rate the impact of ESMA's response in the context of the COVID-19 crisis: |
| 1 - the less significant impact |
| © 2 |
| © 3 |
| [©] 4 |
| 5 - the most significant impact |
| Don't know / no opinion / not relevant |
| Please explain your answer to question 1.6.1 for ESMA: |
| 5000 character(s) maximum |
| including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Question 1.6.2 Please rate the effectiveness of the ESAs' follow-up actions on the European Systemic Risk Board (ESRB) recommendations below in the context of the COVID-19 crisis:

| | 1 (least effective) | 2 (rather not effective) | 3 (neutral) | 4 (rather effective) | 5 (most effective) | Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Market illiquidity and implications for asset managers and insurers | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | 0 | • |
| Impact of large scale downgrades of corporate bonds on markets and entities across the financial system | 0 | • | 0 | • | 0 | 0 |
| System-wide restraints on dividend payments, share buybacks and other pay-outs | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Liquidity risks arising from margin calls | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Please explain your answer to question 1.6.2:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Market illiquidity and implications for asset managers and insurers: Currently, it is difficult to gather data as national barriers on transparency exist.

Impact of large scale downgrades of corporate bonds on markets and entities across the financial system: More clarity is needed of the role and responsibilities of European institutions (sometimes, the same hat is worn by 2 institutions, as is the case for EBA and ECB.

System-wide restraints on dividend payments, share buybacks and other pay-outs: This is key, and was also highlighted by the Systemic Risk Council, sponsored by CFA Institute (in a statement addressed to G20 Finance Ministers and Governors on measures to contain economic and financial crisis: https://www.systemicriskcouncil.org/2020/03/src-statement-on-financial-system-actions-for-covid-19/

| Question 1.6.3 ESMA: Do you think the coordinating activities carried ou | ıt by |
|--|-------|
| ESMA has successfully contributed to address the challenges posed by | the |
| COVID-19 crisis? | |

| 0 | Yes |
|---|-----|
|---|-----|

[⊚] No

Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your answer to question 1.6.3 for ESMA:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Yes, given the limited means at the ESAs disposal, they have done a good job.

Question 1.6.4 ESMA: Do you think that ESMA has always acted effectively, where needed, in the context of the COVID-19 crisis?

Yes

O No

Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Question 1.6.5 Do you think Article 18.2 of the ESAs Regulation (declaration of an emergency situation) is fit for its intended purpose?

Yes

O No

Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

| Question 1.6.6 In case you identified areas for improvement in the ESAs | s' |
|---|----|
| powers in emergency situations, do you have any suggestions on how t | 0 |
| address them? | |

| | onaracter(s) maximum ng spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. |
|----------|--|
| | <u>3 - Francis de la companya de la co</u> |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 1.7 Cc | pordination function (Art 31 ESAs' Regulations) |
| | |
| effect | |
| \[\] | Yes |
| • | No |
| | Don't know / no opinion / not relevant |
| | · |
| lf you | identify areas for improvement for the coordination role of ESMA, |
| pleas | e explain: |
| 5000 c | character(s) maximum |
| includin | g spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. |
| | e ESAs should be granted more powers and resources to effectively ensure greater supervisory ordination and carry out their oversight function. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| _ | |

Question 1.7.2 ESMA: Do you see a need for greater coordination between ESMA and/or with other EU and national authorities as regards developing data requirements, data collection and data sharing?

Yes

| No |
|----|
| |

Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

If you do see a need for greater coordination for ESMA, please explain your answer to question 1.7.2 and indicate what changes you propose:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

If the EU wants to build a single market and a CMU that are able to compete with the UK and other major financial centres, single supervision is the only way forward.

ESMA could play a role in the ongoing development of a European Single Access Point (ESAP), which would allow investors, market participants and stakeholders to access standardised data in a single point at European level. This would facilitate the comparison of different companies across member states. ESMA could be given powers and responsibility for the development and supervision of ESAP, and the aggregation of data at European level. For further details on our views on the development of an ESAP, please see our response to the European Commission public consultation: https://www.cfainstitute.org/-/media/documents/comment-letter/2020-2024/20210323.ashx

Question 1.7.3 In the frameworl of 2019 ESAs' review, please rate the effectiveness, in your view, of the tools below in order to fulfil the new coordination role of the ESAs facilitating the entry into the market of actors or products relying on technological innovation:

| | 1 (least effective) | 2 (rather not effective) | 3 (neutral) | 4 (rather effective) | 5 (most effective) | Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Exchange of information and best practices | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Adopt guidelines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Adopt recommendations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Please explain your reasoning when answering question 1.7.3:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Exchange of information and best practices: X The exchange of information is too slow. There is an urgent need to build the single market as the UK is considering deregulating and going into competitive mode.

Question 1.7.3.1 In the framework of 2019 ESAs' review, do you think ESMA's new coordination function (Article 31b ESMA Regulation) in relation to orders, transactions and activities that give rise to suspicions of market abuses and have cross-border implications for the integrity of financial markets or financial stability in the EU is an effective tool?

- Yes
- ON O
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Question 1.7.4 In the framework of 2019 ESAs' review, do you think the new coordination groups (Article 45b of the ESAs Regulations) are effective tools to coordinate competent authorities regarding specific market developments?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Question 1.7.5 ESMA: In your view, does the coordination function of ESMA, ensuring that the competent authorities effectively supervise outsourcing, delegation and risk transfer arrangements in third countries, work in a satisfactory way?

- Yes
- O No
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

1.8. Tasks related to consumer protection and financial activities

Question 1.8.1 ESMA: What are, in your view, ESMA's main achievements in the consumer and investor protection area?

| E000 | , , | / 1 | , |
|---------|-----------|-----|----------|
| 5/1/1/1 | charactai | 101 | maximum |
| | Ullalaulu | 131 | ΠαλΠΠαΠΠ |
| | | | |

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

| The ESAs joint committee has played a significant role in the development of a horizontal approach to consumer and investor protection issues. |
|--|
| |
| |
| |

Question 1.8.2 ESMA: Please assess the impact of ESMA's work on analysis of consumer trends, reviewing market conduct, developing indicators, contributing to level playing field, financial literacy and follow up to work in this area:

| | (less significant impact | (not so significant impact) | 3 (neutral) | 4 (significant impact) | 5 (most significant impact) | Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Analysis of consumer trends | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 |
| Reviewing market conduct | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Developing indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 |
| Contributing to a level playing field | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Financial literacy | • | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Follow up to work in this area | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | • |

Please explain your answer to question 1.8.2 for ESMA:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Reviewing market conduct: Little transparency is provided on local market conduct practices.

Developing indicators: A EU Scoreboard, monitoring and indicating member states that front runners and laggers in the implementation and enforcement of consumer protection rules might be useful.

Contributing to a level playing field: ESAs need more powers to effectively contribute to a level playing field. The EU should also use more regulations rather than directives, and have less detailed rules at level 1 and more flexibility at level 2 and 3.

Financial literacy: Again, this is a matter of national competence, but should someway be addressed at EU-wide level, otherwise improvements are unlikely to be seen.

Question 1.8.3 In the framework of 2019 ESAs' review, the ESAs can now, where sectoral legislation enables them, use their product intervention powers for practices and products that cause consumer harm and after two prolongations of six months, an automatic one-year prolongation of the prohibition is possible (Article 9.5).

| In your view, are these powers effective for their intended purpose? |
|--|
| [©] Yes |
| No |
| Don't know / no opinion / not relevant |
| Please explain your answer to question 1.8.3: |
| 5000 character(s) maximum |
| including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. |
| |
| Question 1.8.4 Would you consider it useful if the ESAs could adopt acts of general application in cases other than those referred to in Article 9(5) of the ESAs Regulations? |
| |
| Yes |
| O No |
| Don't know / no opinion / not relevant |

Question 1.8.5 ESMA: Could you provide concrete examples where enabling the use of the product intervention powers in sectoral legislation would be useful? 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. Question 1.8.6 ESMA: In the framework of 2019 ESAs' review, please rate the new ESMA's task to coordinate mystery shopping activities of competent authorities, if applicable, according to its relevance to promote consumer protection at EU level: 1 - irrelevant 2 - rather irrelevant 3 - neutral 4 - rather relevant 5 - fully relevant Don't know / no opinion / not relevant Please explain your answer for ESMA and indicate whether you consider enhancing national competencies for conduct supervision may be beneficial for the overall coordination of mystery shopping activities: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. The EU-level coordination of mystery shopping is good, but we would like to see more feedback from market participants and case studies that are published on the Web.

| Question 1.8.7 ESMA: What are, in your view, the main strengths and |
|---|
| weaknesses of the current framework on consumer protection (Article 9 |
| ESAs Regulations) and what would you suggest to address any possible shortcomings? |
| 5000 character(s) maximum |
| including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Question 1.8.8 ESMA: Are there areas for improvement in the toolkit of ESMA |
| when it comes to coordinating supervisors in the area of consumer |
| protection? |
| Yes |
| No |
| Don't know / no opinion / not relevant |
| Please explain your answer to question 1.8.8 for ESMA: |
| 5000 character(s) maximum |
| including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. |
| We need much more than only coordination. The ESAs should lead on supervision in the area of consumer protection. |
| |
| 1.9 International relations |
| |
| Question 1.9.1 ESMA: How do you assess the role and competences of ESMA |
| in the field of international relations? |

Are there additional international for in which ESMA should be active?

| including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. | |
|--|----|
| including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Question 1.9.2 ESMA: In the framework of 2019 ESAs' review, how do yo | |
| assess the new ESMA's role in monitoring the regulatory and superviso | ry |
| developments, enforcement practices and market developments in thi | rd |
| countries for which equivalence decisions have been adopted by th | ıe |
| Commission? | |
| 5000 character(s) maximum | |
| including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Question 1.9.3 ESMA: Are the powers and competences in the field | of |
| international relations as set out in Article 33 of the ESAs' Regulation | าร |
| adequate in light of the tasks conferred on ESMA? | |
| Yes | |
| No | |
| Don't know / no opinion / not relevant | |
| Don't know / no opinion / not folovant | |
| | |

Question 1.9.4 ESMA: How do you assess the role of ESMA in the development of model administrative arrangements between national

competent authorities and third-country authorities? Should this role be further specified? 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. Question 1.9.4 EIOPA: How do you assess the role of EIOPA in the development of model administrative arrangements between national competent authorities and third-country authorities? Should this role be further specified? 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

1.10 The role of the ESAs as enforcement actors/enforcers

Under Articles 17 (breach of Union law), 18 (action in emergency situations) and 19 (settlement of disagreements between NCAs in cross-border situations/binding mediation), in case a competent authority fails to ensure that a market participant or financial institution complies with requirements directly applicable to it, the ESAs have the power to investigate the alleged breach or non-application of Union law and, following a specified procedure and under certain conditions, adopt an individual decision towards the market participant or financial institution requiring it to comply with EU law.

Question 1.10.1 ESMA: How do you assess the role of ESMA under these articles of the founding Regulations?

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

| The ESAs still have limited enforcement powers to deal with breaches of EU rules, which are not addressed |
|---|
| by national competent authorities. Nevertheless, CFA Institute welcomes the recent Common Supervisory |
| Action initiative that ESMA launched with the aim to assess the level of harmonisation in the application and |
| enforcement of EU rules. This exercise could, at least, allow ESMA and the NCAs to identify areas of |
| inconsistent application of key EU requirements. |
| |
| |
| |

Question 1.10.2 ESMA: Do you see room for improvement in the way ESMA could ensure that competent authorities enforce more effectively EU rules towards market participants/financial institutions?

- Yes
- O No
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your answer to question 1.10.2 for ESMA:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

The ESAs should continue to work together with national competent authorities to ensure consistency in the enforcement of EU rules, and provide guidelines clarifying how member states should conduct their enforcement practices.

Question 1.10.3 In your view, are the powers of the ESAs to enforce EU rules towards market participants/financial institutions under Articles 17, 18 and 19 ESAs Regulations well balanced, adequate and effective?

- Yes
- O No
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your answer to question 1.10.3:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

| Question 1.10.4 Do you think the respective roles of the ESAs and of the Commission are clearly defined in Article 17, 18 and 19 ESAs Regulations? |
|---|
| © Yes |
| No |
| Don't know / no opinion / not relevant |
| Please explain your answer to question 1.10.4: |
| 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Question 1.10.5 ESMA: Do you think the use of sanctions laid down in the EU acquis by competent authorities in case of non-compliance of market participants/financial institutions with EU rules is, in practice for ESMA, |
| sufficiently dissuasive or disproportionate? |
| Sufficiently dissuasive |
| Disproportionate |
| Other |
| Don't know / no opinion / not relevant |
| 2. Governance of the ESAs |
| 2.1 General governance issues |
| Question 2.1.1 Does the ESAs' governance allow them to ensure objectivity, independence and efficiency in their work/decision making? |

Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

| | reaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. |
|---|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Question 2.1.1.1 | If you consider that there should be differences in |
| | en different types of tasks, please explain: |
| 5000 character(s) maximus | |
| including spaces and line be | reaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Board of Supervision and volume an interest the | rticle 42 of the ESAs' Regulations according to which the sors members must abstain from participating in the ting in relation to any items of the agenda for which they at might be considered prejudicial to their independence, ion making process? |
| Board of Supervision and volume an interest the | sors members must abstain from participating in the ting in relation to any items of the agenda for which they at might be considered prejudicial to their independence, |
| Board of Supervision and votable an interest the improved the decise | sors members must abstain from participating in the ting in relation to any items of the agenda for which they at might be considered prejudicial to their independence, |
| Board of Supervision and votable an interest the improved the decised No | sors members must abstain from participating in the ting in relation to any items of the agenda for which they at might be considered prejudicial to their independence, |
| Board of Supervision and vota have an interest the improved the decise Yes No Don't know / no | sors members must abstain from participating in the ting in relation to any items of the agenda for which they at might be considered prejudicial to their independence, ion making process? o opinion / not relevant |
| Board of Supervision and vota have an interest the improved the decise No Don't know / no Please explain your | sors members must abstain from participating in the ting in relation to any items of the agenda for which they at might be considered prejudicial to their independence, ion making process? o opinion / not relevant r answer to question 1.2.2: |
| Board of Supervision and vota have an interest the improved the decise Yes No Don't know / no Please explain your 5000 character(s) maximus | sors members must abstain from participating in the ting in relation to any items of the agenda for which they at might be considered prejudicial to their independence, ion making process? o opinion / not relevant r answer to question 1.2.2: |
| Board of Supervision and vota have an interest the improved the decise Yes No Don't know / no Please explain your 5000 character(s) maximus | sors members must abstain from participating in the ting in relation to any items of the agenda for which they at might be considered prejudicial to their independence, ion making process? o opinion / not relevant r answer to question 1.2.2: |
| Board of Supervision and vota have an interest the improved the decise Yes No Don't know / no Please explain your 5000 character(s) maximus | sors members must abstain from participating in the ting in relation to any items of the agenda for which they at might be considered prejudicial to their independence, ion making process? o opinion / not relevant r answer to question 1.2.2: |
| Board of Supervision and vota have an interest the improved the decise Yes No Don't know / no Please explain your 5000 character(s) maximus | sors members must abstain from participating in the ting in relation to any items of the agenda for which they at might be considered prejudicial to their independence, ion making process? o opinion / not relevant r answer to question 1.2.2: |

Question 2.1.3 In the framework of 2019 ESAs' review, do you think the requirements in Articles 3 and 43a of the ESAs' Regulations are sufficient to ensure accountability and transparency?

- Yes
- [◎] No
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Question 2.1.4 In the framework of 2019 ESAs' review, to what extent the recent enhancements in the role of Chairperson improve the decision making process?

| | (less significant impact | 2 (not so significant impact) | 3 (neutral) | 4 (significant impact) | 5 (most significant impact) | Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable |
|---|--------------------------|--|----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Request to the Board to establish internal committees for specific tasks | 0 | • | • | • | • | • |
| Set the agenda to be adopted by the Board and table items for decision | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | • | • |
| Call a vote at any time | © | 0 | © | • | © | 0 |
| Propose the composition of independent panels for breach of Union law investigations and dispute settlements | • | 0 | • | • | • | • |
| Propose the composition of peer review committees for peer reviews | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | • | • |
| Propose a decision to launch an inquiry and convene an independent panel for the purposes of Article 22 (4) ESAs Regulation | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| Vote in the Board of Supervisors (except on matters that are decided on the basis of qualified majority voting) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Please explain your answers to question 2.1.4: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. |
|--|
| |
| Question 2.1.5 Should the role of the Chairperson be strengthened in other areas? |
| © Yes |
| O No |
| Don't know / no opinion / not relevant |
| 2.2 Decision-making bodies and preparatory bodies |
| Question 2.2.1 Does the current composition of the Board of Supervisors (BoS) and of the Management Board (MB) ensure that decisions are taken efficiently and independently? |
| © Yes |
| No |
| Don't know / no opinion / not relevant |
| If you identify areas for improvement, please explain: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. |
| Greater supra-national representation or voting interest in the Board of Supervisors would make sure that final decisions are not significantly influenced by national interests. |
| Question 2.2.2 Do the current voting modalities (e.g. simple majority, qualified majority) of the BoS ensure efficient decision making? Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not relevant |

Please explain your answer to question 2.2.2:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

The current voting modalities are fine. However, to improve accountability and ensure a more efficient decision-making process in the BoS, the chairperson should be granted voting rights.

Question 2.2.3 Does the current allocation of tasks between the BoS and the MB ensure that the ESAs are run effectively and perform the tasks conferred on them?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

If you identify areas for improvement, please explain:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

As mentioned in our response to question 2.2.1, supra-national interests are under-represented in these bodies. Transforming the Management Board into an Executive Board, composed of permanent members from European institutions (as well as members from national competent authorities) would make sure that national interests do not play a dominant role.

Question 2.2.4 In the framework of 2019 ESAs' review, to what extent the enhanced role of the Management Board has improved the decision making process?

| | 1 (less significant impact | (not so significant impact) | 3 (neutral) | 4 (significant impact) | 5 (most significant impact) | Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| The MB can give opinions on all matters to be decided by the Board of Supervisors | • | • | 0 | • | • | 0 |
| The MB ensures the consistent use of a methodology for all peer reviews conducted | © | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 |
| The MB proposes a peer review work plan every two years. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The MB can set up coordination groups on its own initiative | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Please explain your answers to question 2.2.4: |
|---|
| 5000 character(s) maximum |
| including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. |
| Question 2.2.5 Should the role of the Management Board be strengthened i other areas? |
| © Yes |
| No |
| Don't know / no opinion / not relevant |
| Question 2.2.6 In the framework of 2019 ESAs' review, do you think the written non-objection procedure for core convergence tools (breaches of Union law, dispute settlements and peer reviews) is effective for achieving it objective? Yes No |
| Don't know / no opinion / not relevant |
| Question 2.2.7 Do you think ad hoc committees composed of staff of the ESAs and members from the competent authorities (e.g. peer review committees) are effective tools to improve the decision making process? Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not relevant |
| |
| Question 2.2.8 Do you think the functioning of preparatory/supporting bodies |
| of the ESAs (e.g. technical working groups, standing committees, task force etc.) is effective and efficient? |
| Yes |
| No |
| Don't know / no opinion / not relevant |
| 20.1 thioti / no opinion / not followant |

If you identify any shortcomings please specify how these could be addressed:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Most ESAs standing committee members are very passive, and we see no reaction from NCAs taking part in these group meetings. It would really be beneficial to have a proper discussion.

Having said that they have at least started the dialogue. The ESAs standing committees have provided an effective and efficient support to the ESAs work. These supporting bodies represent an important forum for dialogue and advice in which the ESAs can learn relevant policy issues from investors and stakeholders. However, we feel that investors' views are often under-represented relative to other stakeholders (e.g., academics) within these committees.

Question 2.2.9 ESMA: Please assess the impact of the work undertaken by preparatory/supporting bodies of ESMA (e.g. technical working groups, standing committees, task forces etc.) on ESMA's overall work and achievements:

| | 1 (less significant impact | 2 (not so significant impact) | 3 (neutral) | 4 (significant impact) | 5 (most significant impact) | Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Standing committees and other permanent committees | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 |
| Other preparatory bodies (e.g. technical working groups | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 |
| Committee on consumer protection and financial innovation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | • |
| Proportionality Committee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | • |

If you identify any shortcomings for ESMA please specify how these could be addressed: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. Question 2.2.9.1 ESMA: Should there be a different governance in case of direct supervisory decisions in ESMA (for example, similar to the new governance for CCPs)? Yes O No Don't know / no opinion / not relevant 2.3 Financing and resources Question 2.3.1 Do you consider the provisions on financing and resources for the general activities of the ESAs appropriate to ensure sufficiently funded and well-staffed ESAs taking into account budgetary constraints at both EU level and the level of Member States? Yes

[™] No

Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Question 2.3.2 Do you think that the ESAs have sufficient resources to perform their tasks?

Yes

No

Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your answer to question 2.3.2:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

No, the ESAs should have more resources in order to be able to better perform their tasks and deliver on their mandate. A greater amount of resources is also necessary if the ESAs are entrusted with direct supervisory activities or enhanced powers.

| | Do you think there are enough checks and balances for hord their budget? |
|----------------------------|--|
| [©] Yes | a their badget. |
| © No | |
| | / no opinion / not relevant |
| Please explain | your answer to question 2.3.3: |
| 5000 character(s) m | aximum line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. |
| | |
| 2.4 Involvemer | t and role of relevant stakeholders |
| | In your view, are stakeholders sufficiently consulted or, on the |
| contrary, are th | In your view, are stakeholders sufficiently consulted or, on the ere too many consultations? |
| ontrary, are the | |
| eontrary, are the Yes | ere too many consultations? |
| Yes No Too many | |
| Yes No Too many Don't know | consultations // no opinion / not relevant your answer to question 2.4.1: |

| | (lowest quality | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 (highest quality) | No opinion - Not applicable |
|---|-----------------|---|---|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| General consultations launched by ESMA | © | • | • | • | • | • |
| Specific consultations when developing data collection requirements | • | • | • | • | • | • |

| requirements | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----------|---------|
| ease explain | your answ | er to quest | tion 2.4.2 fo | or ESMA: | | |
| 000 character(s) m | aximum | - | | | | |
| cluding spaces and | line breaks, i.e. | . stricter than the | e MS Word char | acters counting | method. | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | ECMA: Ic | ECMA au | ££; - ; 4] 4. | _ | | |
| uestion 2.4.3 | ESIVIA. IS | ESIMA SU | Triciently t | ransparent | and acce | essible |
| uestion 2.4.3 takeholders to | | | • | - | | essible |
| takeholders to | | | • | - | | essible |
| | | | • | - | | essible |
| Yes No | o ensure ef | ffective and | d efficient i | - | | essible |
| Yes No | o ensure ef | | d efficient i | - | | essible |
| Yes No | o ensure ef | f fective and on / not rele | d efficient i vant | nteraction? | | essible |
| Yes No Don't know | o ensure ef | f fective and on / not rele | d efficient i vant | nteraction? | | essible |

Question 2.4.4 Please rate the impact of stakeholders groups within the ESAs on the overall work and achievements of the ESAs:

| | (less significant impact | (not so significant impact) | 3 (neutral) | 4 (significant impact) | 5 (most significant impact) | Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| EIOPA Insurance & Reinsurance Stakeholder Group | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | © |
| EIOPA Occupational Pensions Stakeholder Group | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ESMA Securities and Markets Stakeholder Group | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| EBA Banking Stakeholder Group | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Please explain your answers to question 2.4.4:

| 500 | 0 character(| s) maximum | | | | | |
|-------|--------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| inclu | ding spaces | and line breaks | s, i.e. stricter tha | an the MS Wor | d characters co | ounting method. | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Question 2.4.5 In the framework of 2019 ESAs' review, please assess the significance of the recent changes in the composition, selection, term of office and advice of the stakeholders groups (Article 37 ESAs Regulations)?

| | 1 (less significant impact | (not so significant impact) | 3 (neutral) | 4 (significant impact) | 5 (most significant impact) | Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Composition of stakeholders groups | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | 0 | • |
| Selection of members | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | 0 | © |
| Term of office | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A third of its members can issue a separate advice | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | • |

Please explain your answers to question 2.4.5:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Composition of stakeholders groups: There is too much emphasis on academics and industry representatives, and too little from NGOs and professionals associations, which tend not to fit easily in a category of stakeholders, and, therefore, fall by the wayside.

Question 2.4.6 Does the composition of stakeholders groups ensure a sufficiently balanced representation of stakeholders in the relevant sectors?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your answer to question 2.4.6:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

As mentioned in our response to question 2.2.8, investors and associations representing investors seems to be a little under-represented in these stakeholder groups.

Question 2.4.7 In your experience, are the ESAs' stakeholders groups sufficiently accessible and transparent in their work?

- Yes
- O No
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

2.5 Joint bodies of the ESAs

Question 2.5.1 Please assess the aspects described below regarding the Board of Appeal (BoA) of the ESAs:

| | 1 (least effective | 2 (not so effective) | 3 (neutral) | 4 (rather effective) | 5 (most effective) | Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Organisation | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Functioning and time limits | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| One joint Board of Appeal for the 3 ESAs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The composition of the BoA | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

If you identify areas for improvement, please explain: 5000 character(s) maximum

| ling spaces | and line brea | ks, i.e. stricter | than the MS | Word charact | ers counting | method. | |
|-------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|---------|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Question 2.5.2 Please assess the aspects described below regarding the Joint Committee of the ESAs:

| | 1 (least effective | 2 (not so effective) | 3 (neutral) | 4 (rather effective) | 5 (most effective) | Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Functioning | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 |
| Working methods | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 |
| Ensuring cross-sectoral cooperation | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 |
| Ensuring consistent approaches | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Decision making process | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The legal structure (no legal personality) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

If you identify areas for improvement, please explain:

Question 2.5.3 Please assess the work of the Joint Committee of the ESAs in the areas below:

| | l(less significant impact | (not so significant impact) | 3 (neutral) | 4 (significant impact) | 5 (most significant impact) | Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Consumer Protection and Financial Innovation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Coordination and cooperation for bi-annual Joint Risk Reports, published in spring and autumn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | • |
| Financial Conglomerates | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Securitisation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| European Forum of Financial Innovators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Direct sup | pervisory | powers | 6 | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|----------|------------|------------|------------------------|---|
| estion 3.1 Pl | ease asses | s ESMA's | direct sup | ervisory p | owers in th | |
| | 1 (lowest rate | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 (highest rate) | Dor knov No opinio No applic |
| Credit Rating Agencies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Trade Repositories under EMIR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Trade Repositories under SFTR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | • |
| Securitisation Repositories (STS) | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | 0 | • |

Question 3.2 Please assess ESMA's performance as a direct supervisor of the entities below:

| | 1 (lowest rate | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 (highest rate) | Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable |
|---|-----------------------------|---|---|---|-------------------------|---|
| Credit Rating Agencies | • | • | 0 | • | • | • |
| Trade Repositories under EMIR | • | 0 | 0 | • | • | • |
| Trade Repositories under SFTR | • | 0 | 0 | • | • | • |
| Securitisation Repositories (STS) | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | • | • |

| . you later they around to | ı illiproveillelli, p | icase explain. | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|------------|--|
| 5000 character(s) maximum | | | | |
| including spaces and line break | s, i.e. stricter than the MS | Word characters counti | ng method. | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Question 3.3 How do you envisage the future scope of direct supervisory **ESMA** ESA? powers o f any other o r

What principles should govern the decision to grant direct supervision to the S Ε Α S ?

If you see room for improvement, please provide evidence where you see weaknesses of the current set-up:

| 5000 | character | (5) | maximun | 7 |
|------|-----------|-----|---------|---|
| | | | | |

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

| | ıestion 3.4 Have ould be consideı | - | fied any a | areas whei | re supervi | ision at EU | level |
|---|--|------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|--|
| _ | Yes | 04. | | | | | |
| | No No | | | | | | |
| | Don't know / no | o opinion / no | ot relevant | | | | |
| | ease explain you | | question | 3.4: | | | |
| | luding spaces and line b | | than the MS V | Vord characters | counting meth | od. | |
| | An area where direct so funds. Several barriers sector would reduce or obstacles to the investr | currently impede eliminate duplica | the integration | of this market. | Direct supervis | sion by ESMA in | this |
| | The role of the ro | | | | | | |
| | e role of ESMA as | | | - | | | |
| | | 1 (lowest rate | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 (highest rate) | Don' know No opinio Not applica |
| | The quality of the analysis of market developments | • | • | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | The quality of the stress test and transparency | | | | | | |

| exercises that were initiated and coordinated by the ESAs | © | • | | | © | 0 |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| The interaction between the ESRB and ESAs on the development of a common set of quantitative and qualitative indicators to identify and measure systemic risk | © | • | © | © | © | • |
| The cooperation within the European System of Financial Supervision (ESFS) to monitor the interconnectedness of the various subsectors of the financial system they are overseeing | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| The broader cooperation between the ESRB and the ESAs within the ESFS | © | • | • | • | • | 0 |
| The contribution of the ESAs to facilitating the dialogue between micro- and macro- supervisors | • | • | © | © | © | • |

If you identify room for improvement for ESMA, please specify how this could be addressed:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

The quality of the stress test and transparency exercises that were initiated and coordinated by the ESAs: Lack of data seems to be still an issue.

A lingering issue is what to do with the data that is already at the disposal of regulators. AIFMD reporting data requires a significant amount of ex-post cleansing and recalibration. Then there is the issue of multi-jurisdictional operations and imperfect coordination and matching with non-EU regulators on international funds. This is particular dire for critical information like leverage, liquidity and risk. Ideally, over time, it would probably be a better outcome if ESAs were in a position to obtain their own data sources (from market operators), as opposed to relying exclusively on firm-level uni-dimensional reporting.

B. Questions on the single rulebook

Please click on next to respond to the questions.

5. The ESAs work towards achieving a rulebook

Question 5.1 ESMA: Do you consider that the technical standards and guidelines/recommendations developed by ESMA have contributed sufficiently to further harmonise a core set of standards (the single rulebook)?

- Yes
- O No
- Other
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Question 5.2 Do you assess the procedure for the development of draft technical standards as foreseen in the ESA Regulations effective and efficient in view of the objective to ensure high quality and timely deliverables?

- Yes
- O No
- Other
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your answer to question 5.2:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

| Ougetion 5.3 | When several ESAs need to amend joint technical standards (e |
|---|--|
| | S) and there is a blocking minority at the Board of Supervisors of |
| | SAs, what would you propose as solution to ensure that the |
| | process runs smoothly? |
| 5000 character(s) | • |
| 1 / | nd line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | In particular, are stakeholders sufficiently consulted and any acts sufficiently assessed? |
| potential imp | In particular, are stakeholders sufficiently consulted and any acts sufficiently assessed? |
| potential imp • Yes | |
| potential imp Yes No | |
| o Yes No Other | acts sufficiently assessed? |
| o Yes No Other | |
| potential imp Yes No Other Don't know | acts sufficiently assessed? ow / no opinion / not relevant |
| potential imp Yes No Other Don't know | acts sufficiently assessed? ow / no opinion / not relevant n your answer to question 5.4: |
| Please explai | acts sufficiently assessed? ow / no opinion / not relevant in your answer to question 5.4: |
| Please explai | acts sufficiently assessed? ow / no opinion / not relevant n your answer to question 5.4: |
| Please explai | acts sufficiently assessed? ow / no opinion / not relevant in your answer to question 5.4: |
| Please explai | acts sufficiently assessed? ow / no opinion / not relevant in your answer to question 5.4: |
| Please explai | acts sufficiently assessed? ow / no opinion / not relevant in your answer to question 5.4: |
| Please explai | acts sufficiently assessed? ow / no opinion / not relevant in your answer to question 5.4: |

Question 5.5 Can you provide examples where guidelines and recommendations issued by the ESAs have particularly contributed to the establishment of consistent, converging, efficient and effective supervisory practices and to ensuring the common, uniform and consistent application of Union law?

| including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Question 5.6 Would you consider it useful if the ESAs could adopt guidelines |
| in areas that do not fall under the scope of legislation listed in Article 1 (2) of |
| the ESAs founding Regulations and are not necessary to ensure the effective |
| and consistent application of that legislation? |
| Yes |
| No |
| Don't know / no opinion / not relevant |
| Don't know / no opinion / not relevant |
| Question 5.6.1 If you think of the Wirecard case as an example, how could |
| supervision be improved in the field of auditing and financial reporting? |
| Including Regulation (EC) No 1606/2002 (IAS Regulation) and Directive 2013 |
| /34/EU (Accounting Directive) in Article 1(2) of the ESMA Regulation |
| |
| Other |
| No improvements are needed |
| Don't know / no opinion / not relevant |
| Question 5.7 Do you think that the role of ESMA with regard to Directive 2004 |
| /109/EC (Transparency Directive) could be strengthened? |
| rective) Could be strengthened |
| For example, by including a mandate for ESMA to droft DTS in order to |
| For example, by including a mandate for ESMA to draft RTS in order to |
| further harmonise enforcement of financial (and non-financial) information: |
| Yes |
| [©] No |
| Don't know / no opinion / not relevant |
| |
| Please explain how the role of FSMA with regard to the Transparency |

Directive could be strengthened:

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Yes, ESMA could have a role in the harmonisation of national enforcement practices concerning financial and non-financial information. Moreover, ESMA could be given responsibility for the development of ESAP, including the supervision of the filing of reports in this access point.

Question 5.8 Do you think that <u>Directive 2004/109/EC (Transparency Directive)</u> should require ESMA to annually report on the supervision and enforcement of financial and non-financial information in the EU on the basis of data provided by the national competent authorities regarding their supervisory and enforcement activities?

| 0 | Vac |
|---|-----|
| | 165 |

- No
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your answer to question 5.8:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Constantly monitoring national competent authorities' supervisory and enforcement activities would allow ESMA to understand where inconsistencies exist.

Question 5.9 Do you think that ESMA could have a role with regard to Regulation (EC) No 1606/2002 (IAS Regulation) and Regulation 537/2014/EU (Audit Regulation)?

- Yes
- O No
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Question 5.10 ESMA: What is your assessment of the work undertaken by ESMA regarding opinions and technical advice?

| 5000 cnaracter | (s) maximum | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------------|------------------|
| including spaces | and line breaks | , i.e. stricter th | nan the MS V | Nord characters | counting method. |

77

| 6. General questions on the single rulebook | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Question 6.1 Which are the harmonisation desirable or a (rather than | - | | | |
| Please give your reasons for 6 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricted | | unting method | | |
| The market for distribution of cross-bor supervision at European level are more | | er harmonisation and single | | |
| Question 6.2 Which are the going beyond the minimum plating") are particularly detri | requirements of a Dir | rective (known as "gold- | | |
| Banking Insurance Asset management Market infrastructure (CCF Market organisation (MiFIE Other | , | | | |

Market organisation (MiFID, MIFIR, MAR)

Please identify the relevant sectoral legislation in the area of **Market organisation** for which national rules going beyond its minimum requirements and explain:

| 5000 | irements and explain: O character(s) maximum ling appear and line breaks, i.e. atrictor than the MS Word characters equating method |
|--------------|---|
| | ling spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. MiFID II, UCITS, AIFMD |
| Plea | se provide examples of gold plating in the area of Market organisation |
| 5000 | explain: O character(s) maximum ling spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. |
| lr d | Requirements under EU directives are interpreted in a different manner under national legislation. CFA institute is conducting a study on the use of gold-plating across member states and its impact on the levelopment of capital markets in Europe. We will share the results of this study as soon as the project is nalised. |
| enha impl | stion 6.3 Do you consider that the single rulebook needs to be further anced to reach the uniform application of Union law or rules ementing Union law and efficient convergent supervisory outcomes? Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not relevant |
| your | se explain your answer to question 6.3 and, where appropriate, support response with examples: |
| | O character(s) maximum ling spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. |

6.4 Questions regarding the appropriate level of regulation

| preferable to have a mandate for level 2, or guidance at level 3? Yes No |
|--|
| Don't know / no opinion / not relevant |
| Question 6.4.2 On the other hand, in your view, could reducing divergences in rules at level 1 (legislation agreed by the co-legislators), as well as rules regarding delegated acts (regulatory technical standards) or implementation at level 2, (implementing acts and implementing technical standards) and/or level 3 ('comply or explain guidance' by ESAs) further enhance the single rulebook? Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not relevant |
| Question 6.5 Generally speaking, which level of regulation should be enhanced/tightened in order to ensure uniform application of the single rulebook? Please select as many answers as you like Level 1 (legislation agreed by the co-legislators) |
| Level 2 (e.g. delegated acts and technical standards) Level 3 ('comply or explain guidance' by ESAs) |
| Question 6.6 In your view, what, if anything and considering legal limitations, |
| should be improved in terms of determining application dates and sequencing of level 1, level 2 and level 3? 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. |
| |

Question 6.4.1 In your view, are there circumstances in existing EU

legislation where level 1 is too granular, or for other reasons, would rather be

Question 6.7 Please indicate whether the following factors should be considered when deciding on the need for further harmonisation in rules:

| | 1 (unimportant) | (rather not important) | 3 (neutral) | 4 (rather important) | 5 (fully important) | Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| Strong interlinkages with areas of law which remain non-harmonised (e.g. CRIM-MAD and national criminal law) | 0 | • | • | • | • | • |
| Broad discretion left to national authorities and frequent use of that discretion by these national authorities | 0 | • | 0 | • | • | • |
| High level of gold plating by national rules | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 |
| High degree to which supervision of the same type of actors and /or activities render divergent outcomes across Member States | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 |
| All of the above | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| None of the above | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other aspects | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | • |

Question 6.8 As part of the Commission's work on enhancing the single rulebook under the Capital Markets Union project, do you consider that certain EU legislative acts (level 1) should, in the course of a review, become more detailed and contain a higher degree of harmonisation? Would any of those legal frameworks currently contained in Directives, or any part therein, benefit from being directly applicable in Member States instead of requiring national transposition?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please select the legislative sector(s) of the specific piece(s) of legislation you have in mind:

Please select as many answers as you like

- Banking
- Insurance
- Asset management
- Market infrastructure (CCPs, CSDs)
- Market organisation (MiFID, MIFIR, MAR)
- Other

Other

Please specify to what other legislative area(s) you refer:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Yes, greater detail in level 1 legislative acts would prevent member states from misinterpreting EU rules when implementing EU Directives in national legislation.

When drafting legislative acts, EU policymakers also should keep in mind that most legal systems in EU member states are based on statutory law. They should, therefore, adapt EU rules to this specificity to ensure more consistent implementation.

Please identify the specific piece(s) of legislation at level 1 in **this/these other** area(s) that should become more detailed and contain a higher degree of harmonisation and explain:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

| Please provide examples in this/these other area(s) and explain: |
|--|
| 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. |
| including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the W3 word characters counting method. |
| Question 6.9 Do you consider that on the basis of existing mandates, additional/more detailed rules at level 2 should be introduced to provide the supervised entities and their supervisors with more detailed and clearer guidance? Yes |
| O No |
| Don't know / no opinion / not relevant |
| Question 6.10 Against the objective of establishing the single rulebook for financial services, how would you increase the degree of harmonisation of EU financial legislation? Please select as many answers as you like |
| Across the board (e.g., via an Omnibus act which amends multiple sectoral acts at the same time) |
| In a targeted manner through individual sectoral reviews |
| Please select the legislative sector(s) in which you would increase the degree of harmonisation of EU financial legislation: Please select as many answers as you like |
| Banking Insurance Asset management Market infrastructure (CCPs, CSDs) |

Other

Other

| 5000 character(s) maxii | num |
|-------------------------|--|
| cluding spaces and line | e breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| ase identify th | ne specific piece of legislation in this/these other area(s) |
| - | |
| - | d increase the degree of harmonisation of EU financi |
| gislation and ex | |
| 5000 character(s) maxii | e breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. |
| studing spaces and line | : breaks, i.e. stricter than the ivis word characters counting method. |
| MiFID, UCITS, AIFM | ID, and IORP directives. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| ease explain th | e legislative approach (omnibus vs targeted reviews) in t |
| nese other area | (s): |
| 5000 character(s) maxii | |
| ' ' | breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. |
| | A horizontal regulatory approach in legislation concerning the EU asset management |
| | e the development of a competitive single market in this sector. |
| contar would facilitat | e the development of a competitive single market in this sector. |
| sector would facilitat | |
| sector would facilitat | |

Additional information

Should you wish to provide additional information (e.g. a position paper, report) or raise specific points not covered by the questionnaire, you can upload your additional document(s) below. **Please make sure you do not**

include any personal data in the file you upload if you want to remain anonymous.

The maximum file size is 1 MB.

You can upload several files.

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

Useful links

More on this consultation (https://ec.europa.eu/info/publications/finance-consultations-2021-esas-review_en)

Consultation document (https://ec.europa.eu/info/files/2021-esas-review-consultation-document_en)

More on the European system of financial supervision (https://ec.europa.eu/info/business-economy-euro/banking and-finance/financial-supervision-and-risk-management/european-system-financial-supervision_en)

Specific privacy statement (https://ec.europa.eu/info/files/2021-esas-review-specific-privacy-statement_en)

More on the Transparency register (http://ec.europa.eu/transparencyregister/public/homePage.do?locale=en)

Contact

fisma-esas-review@ec.europa.eu